

1 **Macrophages protect endometriotic cells against oxidative damage through a cross talk mechanism**

書式変更

2

3 Kenji Ogawa 1), Tingting Liu 1), Naoki Kawahara 1), and Hiroshi Kobayashi 1)2).

4

5 1. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Nara Medical University, Kashihara, 634-8522, Japan.

6 2. Ms.Clinic MayOne, Kashihara, 634-0813, Japan.

7

8 Running title:

9 Cross talk between endometriosis and macrophages.

10

11 Key words: Co-culture; Endometriosis; Heme oxygenase-1; Macrophages, Transforming growth factor-
12 beta.

13

14 * Corresponding author

15 Hiroshi Kobayashi

16 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Nara Medical University,

17 840 Shijo-cho, Kashihara, Nara, 634-8522, Japan.

18 Tel, +81-744-29-8877

19 Fax, +81-744-23-6557

20 Email, hirokoba@naramed-u.ac.jp

21

22

1 **Abstract**

2 This aim of this study was to investigate whether macrophages protect endometriotic cells from oxidative
3 injury and to elucidate the underlying mechanisms of any protection. Endometriotic cells cultured with or
4 without differentiated macrophages (dTHP-1 cells) were treated with hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) or
5 methemoglobin, a major component of hemoglobin species in endometriotic cyst fluid. Co-culture
6 experiments, microarray analysis, screening and validation of differentially expressed genes (DEGs), cell
7 proliferation and viability assays, and experiments using a specific inhibitor were conducted to investigate
8 the functional cross-talk between endometriotic cells and macrophages. Microarray analysis revealed that
9 endometriotic cells co-cultured with dTHP-1 differentially express several genes compared with
10 monoculture. Quantitative Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and western blotting analysis
11 identified TGF-β1 as a promising candidate gene expressed in endometriotic cells co-cultured with dTHP-
12 1 cells. TGF-β1 stimulated the expression of heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) in dTHP-1 cells. HO-1 expression
13 was increased in dTHP-1 cells co-cultured with endometriotic cells compared with the dTHP-1
14 monoculture. Both H₂O₂ and methemoglobin upregulated the expression of the HO-1 protein in the dTHP-
15 1 monoculture; moreover, co-culture with endometriotic cells further enhanced HO-1 production. The co-
16 culture with dTHP-1 protected endometriotic cells against oxidative injury. Blockade of HO-1 abolished
17 the protective effects of macrophages. In an oxidative stress environment, TGF-β1 produced by
18 endometriotic cells may protect against oxidative injury through the upregulation of macrophage-derived
19 HO-1. The cross-talk between endometriotic cells and macrophages may contribute to the progression and
20 pathogenesis of endometriosis.

21
22 Key words: Co-culture; Endometriosis; Heme oxygenase-1; Macrophages, Transforming growth factor-
23 beta.

24
25

1 **Introduction**

2 Endometriosis is a common, hormone-dependent gynecological disorder that leads to chronic inflammation
3 and oxidative stress (1,2). Redox balance (i.e., the oxidant/antioxidant balance) due to abnormal
4 hemorrhage is a hallmark event in the pathophysiology of endometriosis (1). Hemoglobin, heme, and free
5 iron are released from damaged erythrocytes into the endometriotic cyst fluid (2). Hemoglobin and its
6 related compounds are well known inducers of oxidative stress via autoxidation and the Fenton reaction
7 (2). The superoxide anion (O_2^-) (3) and hydroxyl radical ($\cdot OH$) (4), which are representative reactive
8 oxygen species (ROS), are generated by autoxidation and the Fenton reaction, respectively. The
9 accumulation of ROS can ultimately cause the tissue damage of endometriosis (3). Given that endometriosis
10 can persist in an oxidative stress environment, endometriotic cells may have a mechanism to avoid oxidative
11 stress-dependent cell damage.

12 The endometriosis microenvironment facilitates important cellular interactions between
13 endometriotic cells and the recruited inflammatory cell populations. Substantial infiltration of inflammatory
14 and immune cells is observed in endometriotic lesions (5). Macrophages are the major immune cell
15 population in endometriotic lesions (5); they sense changes in their environment and exhibit plasticity and
16 diverse phenotypes (6). Historically, macrophages have been classified as either M1 or M2 cells: the
17 classical M1 macrophages promote tissue damage by secreting multiple cytokines for inflammatory
18 response; whereas the alternative M2 macrophages exhibit cytoprotective properties by producing anti-
19 inflammatory cytokines (7-9). M2 macrophages also aid the development of lesions by promoting
20 neovascularization (10). In addition, activated macrophages are involved in multiple biological activities:
21 the degradation of damaged erythrocytes, iron uptake and detoxification, and suppression of iron-induced
22 oxidative stress (11). Macrophages are believed to be implicated in all stages of endometriosis development,
23 including the initiation and progression of lesions (12,13). We previously reported that the stress-inducing
24 protein heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) is induced by various oxidative signals and is highly expressed in M2
25 macrophages in endometriotic lesions (8). However, the details of the mechanism by which macrophages
26 protect endometrial cells against oxidative injury remains unclear.

27 This study aimed to investigate the potential role of macrophages in the protection of
28 endometriotic cells from oxidative injury. Our co-culture experimental model provides the first evidence
29 that cross-talk between endometriotic cells and macrophages may contribute to endometriotic cell
30 proliferation and survival under conditions of oxidative stress. Understanding the mechanisms by which
31 macrophages protect endometriotic cells against oxidative damage may provide new insight into potential
32 treatments.

33
34 **Materials and methods**

35 **Ethical statement.**

36 The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board and the Research and Ethical Committee of

1 Nara Medical University Graduate School of Medicine, Kashihara, Japan (reference no. 1587). Written
2 informed consent was obtained from each patient prior to the start of the study.

4 **Cell culture of primary human ectopic endometrial stromal cells (hEESCs).**

5 Endometriotic tissue specimens were collected from patients who underwent surgical resections for
6 endometriosis at Nara Medical University Hospital between January 2015 and December 2018. The
7 inclusion criteria were: 1) reproductive-age Japanese women; 2) patients undergoing surgery involving
8 lesion removal for histological evaluation; and 3) patients with histologically confirmed ovarian
9 endometriosis. The exclusion criteria were: 1) patients under 20 years of age; 2) patients who underwent
10 hormonal therapy prior to surgical resection or for at least 3 months before surgery; and 3) patients with
11 comorbidities, including other benign and malignant ovarian tumors. The cyst walls of ovarian
12 endometrioma were collected from 14 women (average age, 32 years; range, 25–38 years) undergoing
13 surgery for American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) stage III (n = 10) and stage IV (n = 4)
14 endometriosis. Primary hEESCs were prepared in accordance with a previously published protocol (14).
15 Briefly, the fresh tissue was minced, incubated with collagenase (3.15 mg/mL, Nordmark Arzneimittel,
16 Utersen, Germany) at 37°C for 60 min, and filtered (15). After enzymatic digestion, the tissue residues were
17 removed using a 70 µm pore size mesh nylon filter (BD Falcon, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). The flow-
18 through fraction was collected and centrifuged. The cell suspension was then passed through a 40 µm nylon
19 mesh filter (BD Falcon), centrifuged, and the pellet reconstituted. The cells were cultured in DMEM-F12
20 without phenol red supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% antibiotic–antimycotic
21 solution (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan), as previously described (16). The culture medium was changed every 2
22 days. The experiment was started when the cell confluence reached 80%. Fresh-unfrozen primary hEESC
23 cultures up to the third passage were used for each experiment. The same stromal cell donor was used for
24 each experiment. The purity and phenotypic characteristics of freshly isolated hEESCs were verified by
25 immunocytochemistry (17). hEESCs grown on 8-well chamber slides (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes,
26 NJ, USA) were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, blocked for endogenous peroxidase activity (0.75% H₂O₂
27 in methanol for 10 min at room temperature), and then immunostained with mouse monoclonal antibodies
28 to vimentin (Clone V9, 1:1,000) (Dako, Hamburg, Germany) or cytokeratin-7 (CK7) (Clone OV-TL, 1:200)
29 (Dako). Immunoreactivity was visualized using 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) (Dako).
30 The immunocytochemical characterization showed that hEESCs ubiquitously expressed vimentin, but not
31 CK7 (data not shown).

33 **Culture of human immortalized endometriotic epithelial cells (hIEECs).**

34 hIEEC were used because human eutopic or ectopic endometrial glandular epithelial cells are difficult to
35 subculture. The cells were kindly provided by Professor Dr. Satoru Kyo (Department of Obstetrics and
36 Gynecology, Shimane University Faculty of Medicine, Shimane, Japan). The hIEECs were established from

1 an ovarian endometrioma by Bono et al. and the characteristics of this cell line have been previously
2 described (18). Primary human endometriotic epithelial cells were immortalized by transfection with
3 human cyclin D1, cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4), and human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT)
4 genes to establish hIEECs (18). Subsequent passages from a single batch of immortalized cells were used
5 in the experiment. In this study, hIEECs and hEESCs were separately cultured as endometriotic cells.

6 7 **Human monocyte THP-1 cell culture and differentiation.**

8 The THP-1 human monocyte cell line was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection and
9 cultured using RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with non-heat-treated 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS;
10 FujiFilm), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5%
11 CO₂. THP-1 monocytes (6×10^5 cells per insert) were plated on Transwell® inserts (6.5 mm inserter, 0.4
12 µm polycarbonate membrane, Corning, New York, USA) and differentiated into macrophages (dTHP-1
13 cells) by the addition of 10 ng/mL phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) for 48
14 h.

15 16 **Co-culture experiments.**

17 Endometriotic cells (hIEECs [4×10^5 cells per well in the lower chamber] or hEESCs [2×10^5 cells per
18 well in the lower chamber]) were cultured in the bottom of a multiwell plate separated by a porous
19 Transwell® membrane insert seeded with dTHP-1 cells (6×10^5 cells per insert). This design avoids any
20 direct cell–cell contact while allowing the exchange of soluble factors produced by cells. We examined the
21 effects of the ROS-generating agents hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, Fujifilm) and methemoglobin
22 (Metallogenics, Chiba, Japan). Methemoglobin is a major component of hemoglobin species in
23 endometriotic cyst fluid (3) and promotes the peroxidase reaction to generate H₂O₂ (19). Endometriotic
24 cells co-cultured with (referred to as the co-culture) or without (referred to as the monoculture) dTHP-1
25 cells were treated with H₂O₂ (0–500 µM) or methemoglobin (0–150 µM) in a dose- and time-dependent
26 manner. After the cells were treated with H₂O₂ or methemoglobin, the co-culture was stopped, the culture
27 supernatant and cells were removed, and the collected materials were stored at –80°C until further analysis.
28 Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

29 30 **Screening and validation of differentially expressed genes (DEGs).**

31 Tissue samples were collected from three separate patients (30 years, ASRM stage III; 32 years, stage IV;
32 and 34 years, stage III). To identify the soluble factors excreted by endometriotic cells when co-cultured
33 with macrophages after H₂O₂ challenge, hIEECs or hEESCs were monocultured or co-cultured with dTHP-
34 1 in response to 50 µM H₂O₂ for 24 h. In total, two pairs of cell combinations, hIEECs monoculture treated
35 with H₂O₂ and hIEECs and dTHP-1 co-culture treated with H₂O₂, were prepared for microarray analysis.
36 Likewise, hEESCs obtained from the same patient were classified into two groups: hEESCs monoculture

1 treated with H₂O₂ and hEESCs and dTHP-1 co-culture treated with H₂O₂. To identify DEGs, we selected a
2 human gene-expressing oligonucleotide microarray (Genopal[®], Mitsubishi Chemical Holdings, Tokyo,
3 Japan) that could specifically detect 215 human genes, including oxidative stress- and antioxidant-related
4 genes. After background correction, quantile normalization, and probe summarization for variation, the
5 gene expression matrix was obtained. For each significant DEG, a fold-change of >2.0 in gene expression
6 (with a p-value <0.05) was defined as differentially expressed between two conditions. The experiment
7 was repeated three times.

8

9 **Western blotting.**

10 Protein expression was validated by immunoblotting. Whole cell lysate was resolved by sodium dodecyl
11 sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (BIO-
12 RAD, California, USA). The membrane was blocked, probed with specific primary antibodies at 4°C, and
13 then with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.
14 Antibodies against the following proteins were used: TGF-β1 (ab64715, 1:5000; Abcam Cambridge, UK),
15 HO-1 (ab13248, 1:1000; Abcam), IL-6 (ab9324, 1:5000; Abcam), IL-10 (ab33471, 1:500; Abcam), and β-
16 actin (ab8227, 1:5000; Abcam). The blot was also probed for β-actin as a loading control. Finally, protein
17 bands were visualized with a detection reagent (GE Healthcare, Chicago, USA).

18

19 **Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).**

20 We examined whether TGF-β1, IL-6, and IL-10 proteins were produced in endometriotic cells after H₂O₂
21 stimulation when co-cultured with macrophages. The concentrations of cytokines in the samples (the
22 culture supernatants of hIEECs monoculture and co-culture; the culture supernatants of hEESCs
23 monoculture and co-culture) were determined using sensitive ELISA kits. ELISAs for TGF-β, IL-6, and
24 IL-10 were purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, USA). Assays were performed in triplicate.

25

26 **Regulation of heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) expression in dTHP-1 by soluble factors secreted by 27 endometriotic cells.**

28 hIEECs (4×10^6 cells/well) or hEESCs (2×10^6 cells/well) were monocultured in a plate for 24 h, and each
29 culture supernatant was collected and stored until use. To examine whether the culture supernatant
30 promoted HO-1 expression in macrophages, dTHP-1 cells (6×10^5 cells/well) were cultured in medium
31 with or without the culture supernatant of hIEECs or hEESCs. After culture for the indicated times, dTHP-
32 1 cells were collected and the cell lysate was analyzed for HO-1 expression by western blotting.

33

34 **Cell viability assay.**

35 In monoculture systems, the cell viability was determined using the MTT assay (Roche, Basel, Switzerland),
36 as described previously (20). Endometriotic cells (hIEECs [1×10^4 cells/well] or hEESCs [5×10^3

1 cells/well]) and dTHP-1 (1×10^4 cells/well) were seeded in 96-well plates and incubated in the presence or
2 absence of H_2O_2 for the indicated time period. To quantify the adherent living cells, the supernatant was
3 removed, and then 50 μ L of 1 mg/mL working solution of MTT was added to each well and incubated at
4 37°C for 4 h. After incubation, the MTT solution was carefully aspirated. Then, 100 μ L of dimethyl
5 sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was added to each well to solubilize the formazan product and
6 the absorbance at 470 nm was recorded using a spectrophotometer (Biotek Elx800 - Winooski, VT, USA).

8 **IncuCyte ZOOM™ image capture and cell proliferation analyses.**

9 The IncuCyte ZOOM™ Live-Cell Imaging system (Essen BioScience, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) was used for
10 kinetic monitoring of cell proliferation and cytotoxicity, as described previously (21). IncuCyte image
11 assays quantify how rapidly the proportion of the area covered by cells increases with time, which is a
12 function of cell proliferation rate (21). In the co-culture system, 24-well Transwell plates were used;
13 endometriotic cells (hIEECs [5×10^4 cells per well in the lower chamber] or hEESCs [1.5×10^4 cells per
14 well in the lower chamber]) were seeded in the lower chamber and dTHP-1 cells (1×10^5 cells/well) were
15 placed in the upper compartments. The co-culture system was placed inside the IncuCyte ZOOM™ at 37°C
16 in a humidified incubator, and imaged for 3 days. The medium with or without H_2O_2 was changed daily.
17 The percentage of cell proliferation was calculated by the IncuCyte ZOOM software (Essen BioScience).
18 We confirmed that the cell viability measured by the MTT assay and IncuCyte ZOOM™ was almost the
19 same.

21 **Protection of endometriotic cells against oxidative injury by macrophage co-culture.**

22 To determine whether H_2O_2 was cytotoxic to hIEECs and hEESCs, cell viability and growth were
23 determined after exposure to H_2O_2 by the MTT assay and the IncuCyte ZOOM™ Live-Cell Imaging system,
24 respectively. Next, the co-culture of endometriotic cells and dTHP-1 was treated with a selective HO-1
25 inhibitor, tin protoporphyrin IX (SnPP; 30 μ M, Fujifilm) for up to 72 h to investigate the effect on
26 endometriotic cell proliferation.

28 **Statistical analysis**

29 Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics version 25 (IBM Japan Inc., Japan). The
30 experiments were repeated three times to ensure reproducibility. All experiments were conducted in
31 triplicate for statistical analysis. Data were expressed as the mean \pm SD. Some figures show examples of
32 representative data. Significant differences for individual pairs of means were determined using Student's
33 *t*-test. For datasets comparing more than three groups, one-way ANOVA was used followed by the Kruskal-
34 Wallis test or post hoc Dunnett's multiple comparison test. A *P*-value of <0.05 was considered to indicate
35 a statistically significant difference.

1 Results

2 1. DEGs in endometriotic cells co-cultured with macrophages in response to hydrogen peroxide.

3 We conducted a human gene expression oligonucleotide microarray analysis (Genopal[®]) of endometrial
4 cells in monoculture or dTHP-1 co-culture in the presence of 50 μ M of H₂O₂ for 24 h (Figure 1A). In total,
5 29 DEGs (absolute fold-change >2 or <0.5) were identified in hIEECs: 15 were upregulated (Figure 1B)
6 and 14 were downregulated. In the parallel experiment using hEESCs, 12 upregulated genes (Figure 1C)
7 and 11 downregulated genes were identified in the co-culture compared with the monoculture. Of the 15
8 and 12 genes upregulated in Figures 1B and 1C, seven genes were identical, yielding a total of 20 DEGs.
9 The PubMed database, a public repository, showed that of these 20 genes, the following 12 genes were
10 involved in the pathophysiology of endometriosis or the activation and polarization of macrophages: IL-10
11 (interleukin-10) (22), TGFBI (transforming growth factor-1 beta) (23), IL-6 (24,25), GSTO1 (glutathione
12 S-transferase omega 1) (2), KIT (KIT proto-oncogene) (26), NGFR (nerve growth factor receptor) (27),
13 and SERPINE1 (serpin family E member 1) (28) were overexpressed in endometriosis, whereas HSD11B1
14 (hydroxysteroid 11-beta dehydrogenase 1) (29), ALOX15B (arachidonate 15-lipoxygenase type B) (30),
15 EDN1 (endothelin 1) (31), ICAM1 (intercellular adhesion molecule 1) (32), and AREG (amphiregulin)
16 were mainly activated in macrophages (33). Given that inflammation and oxidative stress participate in the
17 pathogenesis of endometriosis, three of the 12 candidate genes, TGF- β (34), IL-6 (35), and IL-10 (36), were
18 further selected to validate their possible role. The remaining nine genes need to be verified by future
19 experiments.

21 2. TGF- β 1 protein is upregulated in endometriotic cells co-cultured with macrophages.

22 The results of microarray analysis were validated by quantitative ELISA and western blotting. Different
23 cells and their supernatants were utilized for the validation approach. Human endometriotic cells (hIEECs
24 or hEESCs) were cultured alone or in combination with dTHP-1 in the presence or absence of H₂O₂ using
25 a Transwell cell contact-independent cell culture system. First, the effect of 100 μ M H₂O₂ on TGF- β 1
26 expression in endometriotic cell monocultures, dTHP-1 monocultures, and endometriotic cells co-cultured
27 with dTHP-1 was examined by ELISA and western blotting. The culture supernatants and cell lysates were
28 analyzed for TGF- β 1 expression by ELISA (Figure 2A) and western blotting (Figure 2B), respectively.
29 The exposure of hIEECs or hEESCs to H₂O₂ did not increase the concentrations of TGF- β 1 protein in the
30 culture supernatant (Figure 2A, lane 1 vs. lane 2 and lane 5 vs. lane 6) and cell lysates (Figure 2B, lane
31 1 vs. lane 2 and lane 5 vs. lane 6). In addition, H₂O₂ treatment failed to stimulate TGF- β 1 protein release
32 from dTHP-1 cells (Figure 2A, lane 9 vs. lane 10). Co-culture with dTHP-1 resulted in a significant
33 increase in the secretion of TGF- β 1 in hIEECs (Figure 2A, lane 3 vs. lane 1). Similar to hIEECs, co-culture
34 enhanced TGF- β 1 secretion from hEESCs compared with hEESCs monoculture (Figure 2A, lane 7 vs.
35 lane 5). Furthermore, TGF- β 1 expression in cell lysates was significantly upregulated in hIEECs co-culture
36 with dTHP-1 compared with monoculture conditions (Figure 2B, lane 3 vs. lane 1), whereas TGF- β 1

1 expression tended to be increased in the cell lysates of hEESCs co-cultured with dTHP-1, but the difference
2 was not significant (**Figure 2B, lane 7 vs. lane 5**). The experiments were repeated three times with the
3 same results. The properties of TGF- β 1 production and secretion differed between the two cell types, but
4 substantial extracellular secretion of TGF- β 1 occurred in endometriotic cells co-cultured with macrophages.
5 Second, IL-6 and IL-10 concentrations in supernatants and cell lysates were determined in both the
6 monocultures and co-cultures of endometriotic cells and macrophages treated with H₂O₂. After exposure to
7 H₂O₂ for 24 h, the culture supernatants were collected and IL-6 and IL-10 were quantified using
8 commercially available ELISA kits. Neither monoculture and nor co-culture conditions in the presence or
9 absence of H₂O₂ increased the concentrations of IL-6 and IL-10 in the culture supernatants (data not shown).
10 As the concentrations of IL-6 and IL-10 were below the detection limit in all supernatant samples, it was
11 unclear whether H₂O₂ addition or co-culture increased the secretion of IL-6 and IL-10 in endometriotic
12 cells. Therefore, we then determined the IL-6 and IL-10 concentrations in the cell lysates using western
13 blotting analysis. The IL-6 concentration in cell lysates was higher in hIEECs than in hEESCs
14 (**Supplemental Figure 1, lane 1 vs. lane 5**). When co-cultured with macrophages, the IL-6 concentration
15 in hIEECs cell lysates was increased significantly (**Supplemental Figure 1, lane 3 vs. lane 1**), but of H₂O₂
16 addition was not observed to have an effect (**Supplemental Figure 1, lane 4 vs. lane 3**). IL-6 concentration
17 in cell lysates also increased in hEESCs co-cultured with macrophages (**Supplemental Figure 1, lane 7 vs.**
18 **lane 5**). Similar to hIEECs, the addition of H₂O₂ did not increase the IL-6 concentration in the hEESCs cell
19 lysates. In contrast, the effect of co-culture on IL-10 production was limited. The IL-10 concentration in
20 cell lysates increased in hIEECs co-cultured with macrophages, but not in hEESCs co-cultured with
21 macrophages (**Supplemental Figure 2**). The IL-10 production in hEESCs monoculture and co-culture was
22 negligible. Furthermore, H₂O₂ challenge failed to induce IL-10 expression in endometriotic cell
23 monoculture or the co-culture with dTHP-1 cells. Among the three candidate proteins, the production and
24 secretion of TGF- β 1 from endometriotic cells were enhanced by co-culture with macrophages. Collectively,
25 the results show that endometriotic cell-derived TGF- β 1 may be a unique soluble factor for molecular
26 communication between endometriotic cells and macrophages.

28 **3. Macrophages co-cultured with endometriotic cells stimulate HO-1 expression in response to** 29 **oxidative stress.**

30 We focused on the HO-1 protein, which provides protection against oxidative injury. Based on cell type
31 and culture conditions, we investigated which type of cells produced HO-1 protein in an oxidative stress
32 environment and whether HO-1 expression was enhanced in the co-culture compared with monoculture.
33 We initially examined the effect of macrophage co-culture on HO-1 expression by endometriotic cells
34 (**Figure 3A, lanes 1–8**). The HO-1 expression levels were quantified in the endometriotic cell lysates using
35 western blotting analysis. Co-culture with dTHP-1 did not increase the expression of the HO-1 protein in
36 hIEECs (**Figure 3A, lane 2 vs. lane 1**) or hEESCs (**Figure 3A, lane 6 vs. lane 5**). Further, H₂O₂ (100 μ M)

1 did not induce the expression of the HO-1 protein in endometriotic cells in either the monoculture (hIEECs
2 [Figure 3A, lane 3 vs. lane 1] and hEESCs [Figure 3A, lane 7 vs. lane 5]) or co-culture conditions (hIEECs
3 [Figure 3A, lane 4 vs. lane 2] and hEESCs [Figure 3A, lane 8 vs. lane 6]).

4 Next, we examined whether the HO-1 protein was produced by macrophages co-cultured with
5 endometriotic cells in response to H₂O₂ (100 μM) (Figure 3A, lanes 9–14). The expression of the HO-1
6 protein was significantly upregulated in dTHP-1 cells co-cultured with endometriotic cells, hIEECs (Figure
7 3A, lane 10 vs. lane 9), or hEESCs (Figure 3A, lane 11 vs. lane 9). There was also a significant increase
8 in HO-1 protein expression in dTHP-1 monoculture (Figure 3A, lane 12 vs. lane 9) after H₂O₂ treatment.
9 HO-1 expression levels in dTHP-1 cells co-cultured with endometriotic cells were increased ~2.0-fold
10 (Figure 3A, lane 14 vs. lane 12) and 1.5-fold (Figure 3A, lane 13 vs. lane 12), respectively, after a 24-
11 hour H₂O₂ challenge as compared with monoculture conditions. Therefore, HO-1 is produced by
12 macrophages co-cultured with endometriotic cells in response to H₂O₂.

13 In basic research, H₂O₂ is often used to induce oxidative stress. Methemoglobin is a superoxide
14 anion-generating hemoglobin species that is abundant in endometriotic cyst fluids (3). In the next
15 experiment, methemoglobin was used instead of H₂O₂. We investigated HO-1 protein expression in
16 macrophages co-cultured with endometriotic cells after methemoglobin challenge. The co-culture of dTHP-
17 1 with endometriotic cells (hIEECs [Figure 3B, lane 2 vs. lane 1] and hEESCs [Figure 3B, lane 3 vs. lane
18 1]) enhanced HO-1 production, as compared with dTHP-1 monoculture. Methemoglobin exposure
19 significantly induced HO-1 protein expression in dTHP-1 monoculture (Figure 3B, lane 4 vs. lane 1). In
20 addition, methemoglobin challenge markedly induced HO-1 protein expression in dTHP-1 cells co-cultured
21 with hIEECs (Figure 3B, lane 5 vs. lane 2) and hEESCs (Figure 3B, lane 6 vs. lane 3). Collectively, these
22 results show that H₂O₂ or methemoglobin challenge stimulated HO-1 protein expression in macrophages
23 co-cultured with endometriotic cells.

24 25 **4. Endometriotic cell-derived TGF-β1 promotes macrophage-dependent HO-1 protein expression.**

26 We investigated whether supernatants collected from monocultures of endometriotic cells (hIEECs and
27 hEESCs) induced HO-1 production in dTHP-1 cells. The concentration of the HO-1 protein in the cell
28 lysate of dTHP-1 cells treated with the culture supernatant of hIEECs (Figure 4A, lane 2 vs. lane 1) and
29 hEESCs (Figure 4A, lane 3 vs. lane 1) was 2.1-fold and 1.6-fold higher, respectively, than that of the
30 untreated cells. We subsequently investigated whether recombinant TGF-β1 stimulated the production of
31 HO-1 protein in dTHP-1 monoculture. dTHP-1 monoculture was challenged with different TGF-β1
32 concentrations (10, 50, and 100 nM) and different exposure times (12 and 24 h), and the concentrations of
33 HO-1 protein were quantified by western blotting. HO-1 production in macrophages was induced after
34 exposure to TGF-β1 ≥10 nM for 24 h (Figure 4B). The cytotoxic effects of TGF-β1 were determined using
35 the trypan blue exclusion assay. No cytotoxic effects were observed after exposure to 100 nM TGF-β1 for
36 24 h (data not shown).

1
2 **5. Macrophages protect endometriotic cells against oxidative injury.**

3 The cell growth kinetics was monitored using the IncuCyte ZOOM™ time-lapse microscopy system.
4 Endometriotic cells were treated with H₂O₂ (0–500 μM) for up to 72 h to induce oxidative damage. In
5 parallel experiments, cell viability was also determined via a conventional MTT assay. Thus, cell
6 proliferation pattern was simultaneously monitored by an IncuCyte-based analysis system and MTT assay.
7 H₂O₂ inhibited the growth of hIEECs and hEESCs in a time- and dose-dependent manner (**Supplemental**
8 **Figure 3**). Both analysis methods (IncuCyte and MTT) returned almost the same results.

9 First, we investigated the effects of H₂O₂ exposure on endometrial cell proliferation. To assess
10 the effect of H₂O₂ exposure on hIEEC and hEESC proliferation, these cells were treated with varying
11 concentrations of H₂O₂ (0–500 μM) for up to 72 h. H₂O₂ suppressed the proliferation of hIEECs
12 (**Supplemental Figure 4A**) and hEESCs (**Supplemental Figure 4B**) in a dose- and time-dependent manner.
13 Endometriotic stromal cells may be more resistant to H₂O₂ than endometriotic epithelial cells.

14 Next, we investigated whether co-culture with macrophages conferred protective effects against
15 H₂O₂-induced cytotoxicity in endometriotic cells. As shown in **Figure 5**, real-time image analysis of
16 endometriotic cell monoculture demonstrated that H₂O₂ (100 μM for hIEECs (**A**) and 200 μM for hEESCs
17 (**B**)) inhibited cell growth and reduced cell confluence in a time-dependent manner. Co-culture with dTHP-
18 1 had a significant protective role against the H₂O₂-induced inhibition of endometriotic cell growth.

19 Finally, we investigated whether HO-1 inhibition abolished the protective effect of macrophages
20 on the H₂O₂-induced inhibition of endometriotic cell proliferation. SnPP is a HO-1 small-molecule inhibitor
21 of tin protoporphyrin IX. In **Supplemental Figure 5**, it is shown that exposure of hIEECs to 30 μM SnPP
22 for 72 h did not affect cell proliferation. To assess the protective effect of HO-1, hIEECs and hEESCs co-
23 cultured with dTHP-1 were treated with SnPP (30 μM) for up to 72 h. HO-1 blockade completely negated
24 the protective effects of macrophages on hIEECs (**Figure 6A**) and hEESCs (**Figure 6B**). Therefore,
25 macrophages may protect endometriotic cells against oxidative injury through the upregulation of HO-1
26 expression.

27
28 **Discussion**

29 This study was conducted to identify the soluble factors derived from endometriotic cells and macrophages
30 that can protect endometriotic cells against oxidative injury. To explore the molecular evidence supporting
31 the presence of cross-talk between endometriotic cells and macrophages, we performed monoculture and
32 co-culture experiments, screening and validation of DEGs, cell growth experiments using IncuCyte
33 ZOOM™ images and the MTT assay, and experiments using a specific HO-1 inhibitor. Our major findings
34 include: 1) high-resolution microarray analysis identified that several genes, including TGF-β1, are
35 potential protective genes upregulated in endometriotic cells when co-cultured with macrophages; 2)
36 culture supernatants collected from endometriotic cells or recombinant TGF-β1 stimulated the expression

1 of the HO-1 protein in macrophage monoculture; 3) HO-1 protein expression was induced in macrophages
2 co-cultured with endometriotic cells or in response to H₂O₂ or methemoglobin (a hemoglobin species
3 actually contained in endometriosis cyst fluid); 4) macrophages exerted a beneficial effect on the protection
4 of endometriotic cells against oxidative injury via HO-1 overexpression; and 5) blockade of HO-1 abolished
5 the protective effects of macrophages. Cross-talk between endometriotic cells and macrophages contributes
6 to the protection of endometriotic cells from oxidative damage through the production of TGF- β 1 and HO-
7 1, respectively (**Figure 7**). Macrophages may play a beneficial role in the progression of endometriosis in
8 an oxidative stress microenvironment.

9 It is known that macrophage recruitment, activation, differentiation, and polarization within
10 endometriotic lesions play a role in regulating the growth, development, progression, angiogenesis, and
11 innervation of endometriosis (37). However, the dynamic cross-talk between endometriotic cells and
12 macrophages in an oxidative stress environment has not yet been clarified. In this study, 29 and 23 genes
13 were upregulated in hIEECs and hEESCs, respectively, after endometriotic cells co-cultured with
14 macrophages were exposed to H₂O₂ (**Figure 1B, 1C**). Among the DEGs, we focused on 12 genes with
15 expression profiles published in a public repository. Previous studies have shown that TGF- β induces the
16 differentiation of T helper cells and upregulates the expression of IL-10 in endometriosis (38); IL-10
17 promotes the growth of endometrial lesions via the suppression of excessive immune and pro-inflammatory
18 responses (22,39); and natural killer cells play an essential role in eliminating aberrant cells. However, after
19 co-culture with macrophages, these cells suppress cytotoxic function in endometriotic cells by stimulating
20 the secretion of the anti-inflammatory cytokines TGF- β and IL-10 (40). Our study revealed that
21 endometriotic cells were when co-cultured with macrophages, TGF- β 1 was secreted into the culture
22 medium by the endometriotic cells (**Figure 2A**) and was significantly overexpressed, especially in epithelial
23 cells (**Figure 2B**). However, the expression of the IL-6 (**Supplemental Figure 1**) and IL-10 (**Supplemental**
24 **Figure 2**) proteins in endometriotic cell lysates was clearly detected by the western blotting analysis,
25 although their concentrations in the culture medium were below the detection limit of the ELISA. Therefore,
26 among the candidate genes, TGF- β 1 was selected for further study.

27 In addition, the study identified several genes that may contribute to cross-talk between
28 endometriotic cells and macrophages, including HSD11B1 (41), IL-6 (25), ALOX15B (30), EDN1 (31),
29 and GSTO1 (42) (**Figure 1**). HSD11B1 is a key enzyme in steroid hormone metabolism. IL-6 and
30 ALOX15B are related to inflammation. EDN1 is involved in endothelial mitochondrial oxidative damage.
31 GSTO1 is implicated in the regulation of oxidative stress and inflammation. These genes are strongly
32 expressed in macrophages and may be related to the high functional connectivity between oxidative stress
33 and antioxidants. Further molecular studies are needed to validate the novel candidate genes involved in
34 the endometriosis and macrophage cross-talk and to understand their roles in endometriosis development.

35 In addition, we found that HO-1 expression was induced in macrophages co-cultured with
36 endometriotic cells, and that this induction was further enhanced in an oxidative stress environment (**Figure**

1 **3)** The production of HO-1 by macrophages is independent of direct cell contact and is therefore due to
2 soluble factors secreted by endometriotic cells. The addition of culture supernatant from endometriotic cells
3 to macrophage monoculture induced the expression of HO-1 protein in cell lysates (**Figure 4A**).
4 Recombinant TGF- β 1 significantly increased HO-1 production in macrophages (**Figure 4B**), suggesting
5 that TGF- β 1 secreted from endometriotic cells may contribute to HO-1 production in macrophages. To date,
6 no study has shown that H₂O₂ stimulation induces TGF- β 1 expression in endometriotic cells. To verify the
7 possible link between HO-1 expression and oxidative stress, we explored the impact of H₂O₂ and
8 methemoglobin on HO-1 expression in the target cells. This is the first experiment using methemoglobin
9 as an oxidative stress inducer (43). Methemoglobin is a pathological form of oxidized hemoglobin and is
10 accumulated in endometriotic cyst fluid due to the repeated episodes of hemorrhage that occur in
11 endometriosis (3,43). Methemoglobin in endometriotic cysts causes distortion in the homeostatic balance
12 between oxidants and antioxidants, known as a redox imbalance, and leads to the production of excess ROS
13 (2,43,44). As expected, the HO-1 protein was produced by macrophages in response to methemoglobin or
14 H₂O₂, but not by endometrial cells (**Figures 3A** and **3B**). The production of the HO-1 protein in
15 macrophages was dependent on both TGF- β 1 secretion by endometrial cells and methemoglobin. HO-1 is
16 known to be regulated by several cytokines, including TGF- β (34), IL-10 (36), and IL-6 (35). Therefore,
17 the TGF- β 1 and HO-1 signaling pathways can be considered the key players in the complex
18 microenvironment of endometriosis (**Figure 7**).
19 Third, we showed that macrophages protect endometriotic cells against oxidative injury through the
20 upregulation of HO-1 expression and promote cell survival. An increase in ROS induces oxidative stress
21 and may contribute to endometriotic cell death (2,3,44,45). As shown in **Supplemental Figure 4**, hEESCs
22 may be more resistant to H₂O₂ than hIEECs, although the underlying mechanism remains to be elucidated.
23 Here we have reported the cytoprotective effect of macrophage-derived HO-1 against H₂O₂-induced
24 endometriotic cell damage (**Figure 5**). HO-1 blockade completely abolished the protective effects of
25 macrophages (**Figure 6**), suggesting that HO-1-dependent cross-talk plays a major role in the ectopic milieu.
26 HO-1 has been reported to protect a variety of cells from ROS-induced oxidative damage and inflammation
27 (45-47). For example, HO-1 is beneficial for cancer cell growth by overcoming increased oxidative stress
28 (48). Both macrophage recruitment and interaction with endometriotic cells have been considered to play
29 a pivotal role in the initiation and progression of endometriosis through the overexpression of TGF- β 1 and
30 HO-1 proteins.

31 Finally, in response to environmental stimuli, macrophages display opposing phenotypes: the
32 classically activated, pro-inflammatory phenotype 1 (M1) and the alternatively activated, anti-
33 inflammatory phenotype 2 (M2) (49). M2 macrophages, with high plasticity and the ability to adapt to
34 environmental changes, may be a key regulator of the resolution of inflammation, tissue repair and
35 fibrogenesis after injury, tissue homeostasis, and the growth of endometriotic lesions (49). Biological
36 factors, including TGF- β , IL-4, IL-10, and IL-13, can promote the polarization of M2 macrophages (50).

1 Increased accumulation of M2 macrophages expressing HO-1 has been described in endometriotic cysts
2 (8). Our results showing that methemoglobin-induced the expression of the HO-1 protein in macrophages
3 suggest that the polarity was converted to the M2 phenotype. These findings support the hypothesis that
4 methemoglobin converts macrophage polarity to the M2 phenotype and protects endometrial cells against
5 oxidative injury through HO-1 expression. There are at least two distinct phases of protection against
6 oxidative injury in endometriosis: the initial wave of HO-1 expression in macrophages by methemoglobin,
7 followed by the second wave of HO-1 induction through the upregulation of TGF- β 1 expression in
8 endometriotic cells (**Figure 7**).

9 The limitations of this study are as follows: Recruited macrophages or peripheral blood
10 mononuclear cells play an important role in mediating the inflammation, angiogenesis, anti-apoptosis, and
11 fibrogenesis in patients with endometriosis (51). Many factors contribute to the dynamic cross-talk between
12 endometriosis and macrophages. For example, peritoneal macrophages and immune cells have been
13 reported to possess a very limited capacity for the elimination of endometriotic cells; hence, allowing
14 endometriotic cells are allowed to survive and grow (51). Understanding the function of endometriosis-
15 associated macrophages will help elucidate their pathogenesis and design new therapeutic strategies.
16 Therefore, this limitation stems from the lack of consideration of factors other than oxidative stress. Second,
17 the underlying molecular mechanism through which HO-1 is induced in macrophages has not been
18 elucidated. It is well known that hydrogen peroxide modulates the activity of nuclear factor-erythroid 2-
19 related factor 2 (Nrf2) (52) and that the Nrf2 signaling pathway regulates the expression of several
20 antioxidant enzymes, including HO-1, catalase, superoxide dismutase (SOD), gamma-glutamyl cysteine
21 synthetase (γ -GCS), and NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) (53,54). Further research is needed
22 to investigate whether Nrf2 is involved in this cross-talk. Furthermore, we used immortalized endometriotic
23 epithelial cells (18) instead of normal ectopic epithelial cells. As these cells have acquired replicative
24 immortality, they retain responsiveness to sex steroids, but may exhibit different properties to normal cells.
25 The final limitation was the lack of animal experiments to quantify endometriosis macrophage cross-talk.

26

27 **Clinical implications and future directions**

28 The pathogenesis of endometriosis remains incompletely understood, but genetic, hormonal, inflammatory,
29 immunological, environmental, and oxidative stress factors are thought to be involved (5). The accumulated
30 evidence demonstrates that oxidative stress is currently a major focus of, and a challenge to, basic and
31 clinical scientific research (1,2). Oxidative stress promotes both tissue damage and repair (2). An increase
32 in ROS in the peritoneal microenvironment alters some genes associated with the maintenance of cell
33 proliferation, invasion, and survival, thus promoting disease progression (51). Indeed, urokinase-
34 plasminogen activator (uPA) and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) overexpressed by oxidative stress can
35 promote endometriotic cell invasion through the activation of the nuclear factor-kappaB (NF- κ B) and
36 mitogen-activated protein kinases signaling pathways (55). In addition, oxidative stress may accelerate the

1 development of endometriosis by enhancing the production of various pro-inflammatory chemokines (e.g.,
2 monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 [MCP-1]) from macrophages in the peritoneal cavity (56). In contrast,
3 macrophage activation triggers greater ROS generation, which participates in oxidative stress. Therefore,
4 oxidative stress and macrophage activation can create a “vicious cycle” in the development of
5 endometriosis. As macrophages are a key mediator of cellular cross-talk in the endometriosis
6 microenvironment with diverse supportive functions, targeting macrophages is an important therapeutic
7 strategy. This study has provided the first demonstration that macrophages activated in response to oxidative
8 stress contribute to the survival of endometriotic cells through the TGF- β -HO-1 pathway. The inhibition of
9 HO-1 production from macrophages leads to the suppression of endometrial cell survival. Once these
10 signaling molecules involved in HO-1 production have been elucidated, potential inhibitor candidates with
11 an innovative mechanism of action can be developed to prevent dynamic cross-talk between endometriosis
12 and macrophages. The study of oxidative stress is therefore critical in supporting therapeutic strategies for
13 endometriosis.

14 In conclusion, dynamic cross-talk between endometriotic cells and macrophages may affect the
15 progression of endometriosis through the upregulation of TGF- β 1 and HO-1 expression. Macrophage-
16 derived HO-1 protects endometriotic cells from oxidative injury. HO-1 may be a therapeutic target for
17 endometriosis.

18
19
20 Acknowledgment:

21 We thank Mrs. Toyomi Kobayashi for creating the figure.

22
23 Patient consent for publication

24 Written informed consent was obtained from each patient. Human rights statements and informed consent:
25 All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on
26 human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 and its later
27 amendments.

28
29 Disclosures

30 Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. Human and Animal Rights: The study was
31 conducted under the guidelines that had been approved by the medical ethics committee of the Nara Medical
32 University (reference no. 1587). This article does not contain any animal studies that have been performed
33 by any of the authors.

34
35 Declaration

36 The research comprises several basic and clinical study designs. This manuscript conforms to the

1 EQUATOR network guidelines. Our study meets checklists of the STROBE Statement ([http://www.strobe-](http://www.strobe-statement.org/)
2 [statement.org/](http://www.strobe-statement.org/)).

3

4 Funding Sources

5 This work was supported by Japan Society for Promotion of Science Japan (grant nos. 20K09604,
6 20K09647 and 20K09648).

7

8 Author's contribution

9 Hiroshi Kobayashi contributed to the study conception and design. Kenji Ogawa was involved in all the
10 experiments in this study. Naoki Kawahara and Tingting Liu helped with cell culture experiments and
11 western blotting. The data analysis was performed by Kenji Ogawa and Naoki Kawahara. The first draft of
12 the manuscript was written by Hiroshi Kobayashi. The final version of the manuscript has been read and
13 approved by all authors.

14

15 Availability of data and material

16 The datasets generated during the current study are available from Hiroshi Kobayashi.

17

18 References

- 19 1. Scutiero G, Iannone P, Bernardi G, Bonaccorsi G, Spadaro S, Volta CA, Greco P, Nappi L. Oxidative
20 Stress and Endometriosis: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Oxid Med Cell Longev*.
21 2017;2017:7265238.
- 22 2. Iwabuchi T, Yoshimoto C, Shigetomi H, Kobayashi H. Oxidative Stress and Antioxidant Defense in
23 Endometriosis and Its Malignant Transformation. *Oxid Med Cell Longev*. 2015;2015:848595.
- 24 3. Iwabuchi T, Yoshimoto C, Shigetomi H, Kobayashi H. Cyst fluid hemoglobin species in endometriosis
25 and its malignant transformation: The role of metallobiology. *Oncol Lett*. 2016;11(5):3384-3388.
- 26 4. Chobot V, Hadacek F. Iron and its complexation by phenolic cellular metabolites: from oxidative stress
27 to chemical weapons. *Plant Signaling and Behavior*. 2010;5(1):4-8.
- 28 5. Smith KA, Pearson CB, Hachey AM, Xia DL, Wachtman LM. Alternative activation of macrophages
29 in rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*) with endometriosis. *Comp Med*. 2012;62(4):303-310.
- 30 6. Hogg C, Horne AW, Greaves E. Endometriosis-Associated Macrophages: Origin, Phenotype, and
31 Function. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2020;11:7.
- 32 7. Donnez J, Binda MM, Donnez O, Dolmans MM. Oxidative stress in the pelvic cavity and its role in
33 the pathogenesis of endometriosis. *Fertil Steril*. 2016;106(5):1011-1017.
- 34 8. Yamada Y, Uchiyama T, Ito F, Kawahara N, Ogawa K, Obayashi C, et al. Clinical significance of M2
35 macrophages expressing heme oxygenase-1 in malignant transformation of ovarian endometrioma.
36 *Pathol Res Pract*. 2019;215(4):639-643.

- 1 9. Jensen AL, Collins J, Shipman EP, Wira CR, Guyre PM, Pioli PA. A subset of human uterine
2 endometrial macrophages is alternatively activated. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* 2012; 68(5):374-386.
- 3 10. Ono Y, Yoshino O, Hiraoka T, Sato E, Furue A, Nawaz A, et al. CD206+ macrophage is an accelerator
4 of endometriotic-like lesion via promoting angiogenesis in the endometriosis mouse model. *Sci Rep.*
5 2021;11(1):853.
- 6 11. Cacciottola L, Donnez J, Dolmans MM. Can Endometriosis-Related Oxidative Stress Pave the Way
7 for New Treatment Targets? *Int J Mol Sci.* 2021;22(13):7138.
- 8 12. Bacci M, Capobianco A, Monno A, Cottone L, Di Puppo F, Camisa B, et al. Macrophages are
9 alternatively activated in patients with endometriosis and required for growth and vascularization of
10 lesions in a mouse model of disease. *Am J Pathol.* 2009;175(2):547-556.
- 11 13. Khan KN, Masuzaki H, Fujishita A, Kitajima M, Sekine I, Ishimaru T. Differential macrophage
12 infiltration in early and advanced endometriosis and adjacent peritoneum. *Fertil Steril.* 2004;
13 81(3):652-661.
- 14 14. Johnston ST. Isolation, characterization, and comparison of human endometrial and endometriosis
15 cells in vitro. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1994; 78(3):642-649.
- 16 15. Tulac S, Overgaard MT, Hamilton AE, Jumbe NL, Suchanek E, Giudice LC. Dickkopf-1, an inhibitor
17 of Wnt signaling, is regulated by progesterone in human endometrial stromal cells. *J Clin Endocrinol
18 Metab.* 2006; 91(4):1453-1461.
- 19 16. Yotova IY, Quan P, Leditznig N, Beer U, Wenzl R, Tschugguel W. Abnormal activation of
20 Ras/Raf/MAPK and RhoA/ROCKII signalling pathways in eutopic endometrial stromal cells of
21 patients with endometriosis. *Hum Reprod.* 2011; 26(4):885-897.
- 22 17. Machado-Linde F, Sánchez-Ferrer ML, Cascales P, Torroba A, Orozco R, Silva Sánchez Y, et al.
23 Prevalence of endometriosis in epithelial ovarian cancer. Analysis of the associated clinical features
24 and study on molecular mechanisms involved in the possible causality. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol.* 2015;
25 36(1):21-24.
- 26 18. Bono Y, Kyo S, Takakura M, Maida Y, Mizumoto Y, Nakamura M, et al. Creation of immortalised
27 epithelial cells from ovarian endometrioma. *Br J Cancer.* 2012;106(6):1205-1213.
- 28 19. Alayash AI. Oxygen therapeutics: can we tame haemoglobin? *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2004; 3(2):152-
29 159.
- 30 20. Carmichael J, DeGraff WG, Gazdar AF, Minna JD, Mitchell JB. Evaluation of a tetrazolium-based
31 semiautomated colorimetric assay: assessment of chemosensitivity testing. *Cancer Res.* 1987;
32 47(4):936-942.
- 33 21. Johnston ST, Shah ET, Chopin LK, Sean McElwain DL, Simpson MJ. Estimating cell diffusivity and
34 cell proliferation rate by interpreting IncuCyte ZOOM™ assay data using the Fisher-Kolmogorov
35 model. *BMC Syst Biol.* 2015;9:38.
- 36 22. Chang KK, Liu LB, Jin LP, Zhang B, Mei J, Li H, et al. IL-27 triggers IL-10 production in Th17 cells

- 1 via a c-Maf/ROR γ t/Blimp-1 signal to promote the progression of endometriosis. *Cell Death Dis.*
2 2017;8(3):e2666.
- 3 23. Liu YG, Tekmal RR, Binkley PA, Nair HB, Schenken RS, Kirma NB. Induction of endometrial
4 epithelial cell invasion and c-fms expression by transforming growth factor beta. *Mol Hum Reprod.*
5 2009;15(10):665-673.
- 6 24. Li S, Fu X, Wu T, Yang L, Hu C, Wu R. Role of Interleukin-6 and Its Receptor in Endometriosis. *Med*
7 *Sci Monit.* 2017;23:3801-3807.
- 8 25. Zhou WJ, Hou XX, Wang XQ, Li DJ. The CCL17-CCR4 axis between endometrial stromal cells and
9 macrophages contributes to the high levels of IL-6 in ectopic milieu. *Am J Reprod Immunol.*
10 2017;78(2).
- 11 26. Pacchiarotti A, Caserta D, Sbracia M, Moscarini M. Expression of oct-4 and c-kit antigens in
12 endometriosis. *Fertil Steril.* 2011;95(3):1171-1173.
- 13 27. Kobayashi H, Yamada Y, Morioka S, Niuro E, Shigemitsu A, Ito F. Mechanism of pain generation for
14 endometriosis-associated pelvic pain. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2014;289(1):13-21.
- 15 28. Sui C, Mecha E, Omwandho CO, Starzinski-Powitz A, Stammler A, Tinneberg HR, et al. PAI-1
16 secretion of endometrial and endometriotic cells is Smad2/3- and ERK1/2-dependent and influences
17 cell adhesion. *Am J Transl Res.* 2016;8(5):2394-2402.
- 18 29. Chinetti-Gbaguidi G, Bouhrel MA, Copin C, Duhem C, Derudas B, Neve B, et al. Peroxisome
19 proliferator-activated receptor- γ activation induces 11 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type I activity
20 in human alternative macrophages. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2012;32(3):677-685.
- 21 30. Abrial C, Grassin-Delye S, Salvator H, Brollo M, Naline E, Devillier P. 15-Lipoxygenases regulate
22 the production of chemokines in human lung macrophages. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2015;172(17):4319-4330.
- 23 31. Soldano S, Pizzorni C, Paolino S, Trombetta AC, Montagna P, Brizzolara R, et al. Alternatively
24 Activated (M2) Macrophage Phenotype Is Inducible by Endothelin-1 in Cultured Human
25 Macrophages. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(11):e0166433.
- 26 32. Gu W, Yao L, Li L, Zhang J, Place AT, Minshall RD, et al. ICAM-1 regulates macrophage polarization
27 by suppressing MCP-1 expression via miR-124 upregulation. *Oncotarget.* 2017;8(67):111882-111901.
- 28 33. Das SK, Chakraborty I, Paria BC, Wang XN, Plowman G, Dey SK. Amphiregulin is an implantation-
29 specific and progesterone-regulated gene in the mouse uterus. *Mol Endocrinol.* 1995; 9(6):691-705.
- 30 34. Zarjou A, Agarwal A. Heme oxygenase-1 as a target for TGF- β in kidney disease. *Semin Nephrol.*
31 2012;32(3):277-286.
- 32 35. Philippidis P, Mason JC, Evans BJ, Nadra I, Taylor KM, Haskard DO, et al. Hemoglobin scavenger
33 receptor CD163 mediates interleukin-10 release and heme oxygenase-1 synthesis: antiinflammatory
34 monocyte-macrophage responses in vitro, in resolving skin blisters in vivo, and after cardiopulmonary
35 bypass surgery. *Circ Res.* 2004; 94(1):119-126.
- 36 36. Mandal P, Pritchard MT, Nagy LE. Anti-inflammatory pathways and alcoholic liver disease: role of

- 1 an adiponectin/interleukin-10/heme oxygenase-1 pathway. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2010;16(11):1330-
2 1336.
- 3 37. Wu J, Xie H, Yao S, Liang Y. Macrophage and nerve interaction in endometriosis. *J*
4 *Neuroinflammation.* 2017;14(1):53.
- 5 38. Sikora J, Smycz-Kubańska M, Mielczarek-Palacz A, Bednarek I, Kondera-Anasz Z. The involvement
6 of multifunctional TGF- β and related cytokines in pathogenesis of endometriosis. *Immunol Lett.*
7 2018;201:31-37.
- 8 39. Suen JL, Chang Y, Chiu PR, Hsieh TH, Hsi E, Chen YC, et al. Serum level of IL-10 is increased in
9 patients with endometriosis, and IL-10 promotes the growth of lesions in a murine model. *Am J Pathol.*
10 2014;184(2):464-471.
- 11 40. Yang HL, Zhou WJ, Chang KK, Mei J, Huang LQ, Wang MY, et al. The crosstalk between endometrial
12 stromal cells and macrophages impairs cytotoxicity of NK cells in endometriosis by secreting IL-10
13 and TGF- β . *Reproduction.* 2017;154(6):815-825.
- 14 41. Park SB, Park JS, Jung WH, Kim HY, Kwak HJ, Ahn JH, et al. Anti-inflammatory effect of a selective
15 11 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 inhibitor via the stimulation of heme oxygenase-1 in LPS-
16 activated mice and J774.1 murine macrophages. *J Pharmacol Sci.* 2016;131(4):241-250.
- 17 42. Menon D, Coll R, O'Neill LA, Board PG. Glutathione transferase omega 1 is required for the
18 lipopolysaccharide-stimulated induction of NADPH oxidase 1 and the production of reactive oxygen
19 species in macrophages. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2014;73:318-327.
- 20 43. Abraham NG, Kappas A. Pharmacological and clinical aspects of heme oxygenase. *Pharmacol Rev.*
21 2008; 60(1):79-127.
- 22 44. Yoshimoto C, Iwabuchi T, Shigetomi H, Kobayashi H. Cyst fluid iron-related compounds as useful
23 markers to distinguish malignant transformation from benign endometriotic cysts. *Cancer Biomark.*
24 2015;15(4):493-499.
- 25 45. Han D, Chen W, Gu X, Shan R, Zou J, Liu G, et al. Cytoprotective effect of chlorogenic acid against
26 hydrogen peroxide-induced oxidative stress in MC3T3-E1 cells through PI3K/Akt-mediated
27 Nrf2/HO-1 signaling pathway. *Oncotarget.* 2017;8(9):14680-14692.
- 28 46. Castillo Á, Aveleira CA, Leal EC, Simões NF, Fernandes CR, Meirinhos RI, et al. Heme oxygenase-
29 1 protects retinal endothelial cells against high glucose- and oxidative/nitrosative stress-induced
30 toxicity. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(8):e42428.
- 31 47. Seiwert N, Wecklein S, Demuth P, Hasselwander S, Kemper TA, Schwerdtle T, et al. Heme oxygenase
32 1 protects human colonocytes against ROS formation, oxidative DNA damage and cytotoxicity
33 induced by heme iron, but not inorganic iron. *Cell Death Dis.* 2020;11(9):787.
- 34 48. Loboda A, Jozkowicz A, Dulak J. HO-1/CO system in tumor growth, angiogenesis and metabolism -
35 Targeting HO-1 as an anti-tumor therapy. *Vascul Pharmacol.* 2015;74:11-22.
- 36 49. Mosser DM, Edwards JP. Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation. *Nat Rev Immunol.*

- 1 2008; 8(12):958-969.
- 2 50. Genin M, Clement F, Fattaccioli A, Raes M, Michiels C. M1 and M2 macrophages derived from THP-
3 1 cells differentially modulate the response of cancer cells to etoposide. *BMC Cancer*. 2015;15:577.
- 4 51. Vetricka V, Laganá AS, Salmeri FM, Triolo O, Palmara VI, Vitale SG, Sofo V, Králičková M.
5 Regulation of apoptotic pathways during endometriosis: from the molecular basis to the future
6 perspectives. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2016 Nov;294(5):897-904.
- 7 52. Marinho HS, Real C, Cyrne L, Soares H, Antunes F. Hydrogen peroxide sensing, signaling and
8 regulation of transcription factors. *Redox Biol*. 2014;2:535-562.
- 9 53. Sykiotis GP, Habeos IG, Samuelson AV, Bohmann D. The role of the antioxidant and longevity-
10 promoting Nrf2 pathway in metabolic regulation. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 2011; 14(1):41-
11 48.
- 12 54. Haines DD, Lekli I, Teissier P, Bak I, Tosaki A. Role of haeme oxygenase-1 in resolution of oxidative
13 stress-related pathologies: focus on cardiovascular, lung, neurological and kidney disorders. *Acta
14 Physiol (Oxf)*. 2012; 204(4):487-501.
- 15 55. Riemma G, Laganá AS, Schiattarella A, Garzon S, Cobellis L, Autiero R, Licciardi F, Della Corte L,
16 La Verde M, De Francisci P. Ion Channels in The Pathogenesis of Endometriosis: A Cutting-Edge
17 Point of View. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2020 Feb 7;21(3):1114.
- 18 56. Santanam N, Murphy AA, Parthasarathy S. Macrophages, oxidation, and endometriosis. *Ann N Y
19 Acad Sci*. 2002 Mar;955:183-98; discussion 19-200, 396-406.

20

21 **Figure 1. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in endometriotic cells co-cultured with macrophages**
22 **(dTHP-1) in response to H₂O₂.**

23 **A**, hEESCs were isolated from patient-derived endometriotic tissue samples. The upper and lower chambers
24 were first cultured separately; the co-culture experiment was started when each cell layer reached 80%
25 confluence. hIEECs and hEESCs (lower chamber) were separately cultured for 24 h (monoculture) or co-
26 cultured with dTHP-1 (upper chamber) in the presence of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) in a two-chamber co-
27 culture system without cell–cell contact. H₂O₂ was added to the upper chamber.

28 **B**, hIEECs co-cultured with dTHP-1 cells vs. hIEECs monoculture (Venn diagram). Total RNA was used
29 for microarray expression analysis (Genopal[®]), and differential gene expression was assessed based on cell
30 type (hIEECs vs. hEESCs) and culture condition (monoculture vs. co-culture) in response to H₂O₂. In total,
31 29 transcripts were identified to be differentially expressed between the two groups (hIEECs co-culture
32 with dTHP-1 vs. hIEECs monoculture), 15 of which were upregulated (>2-fold) and 14 were downregulated
33 (<0.5-fold). The 15 genes specifically upregulated in hIEECs when co-cultured with dTHP-1 are listed on
34 the left of the Venn diagram in **Figure 1B**. hEESCs co-culture with dTHP-1 vs. hEESCs monoculture (Venn
35 diagram). In total, 23 transcripts were identified to be differentially expressed between the two groups
36 (hEESCs co-cultured with dTHP-1 vs. hEESCs monoculture); 12 were upregulated and 11 were

1 downregulated. The 12 overexpressed genes are listed on the left of the Venn diagram in **Figure 1C**. Three
2 genes shown in bold letters, TGF- β , IL-6, and IL-10, were selected for further experiments.

3

4 **Figure 2. Endometriotic cells co-cultured with macrophages have increased expression of TGF- β 1**
5 **protein.**

6 hIEEC, hEESC, and dTHP-1 are indicated by blue, green, and black bars, respectively. In the monoculture
7 system, the color of the bars represents the cells used in the experiment; in the co-culture system, the color
8 of the bars represents the cells cultured in the lower chamber. When co-cultured with dTHP-1 cells,
9 “+dTHP-1” is displayed on the bar.

10 **A.** TGF- β 1 expression in the culture supernatants of the monoculture and co-culture conditions in the
11 presence or absence of H₂O₂ was measured using ELISA. Data represent the mean \pm SD. a vs. b, $p < 0.05$.

12 **B.** The expression of the TGF- β 1 protein in the cell lysates of the monoculture and co-culture conditions in
13 the presence or absence of H₂O₂ was detected by western blotting analysis. The fold-change in band
14 intensity of TGF- β 1 was normalized to β -actin expression. Fold-change vs. lane 5 was calculated. a vs. b,
15 $p < 0.01$; a vs. c, $p < 0.05$; b vs. c, $p < 0.01$; and b vs. d, $p < 0.01$. Western blotting results are representative
16 of three independent experiments.

17

18 **Figure 3. HO-1 protein is upregulated in macrophages co-cultured with endometriotic cells under**
19 **oxidative stress challenge.**

20 We examined the effects of monoculture and co-culture on HO-1 expression in endometriotic cells and
21 macrophages in response to H₂O₂ or methemoglobin. Cultured cells were challenged by H₂O₂ (**A**, 100 μ M)
22 or methemoglobin (**B**, 50 μ M), respectively, for 24 h in monoculture or co-culture conditions. The
23 expression levels of HO-1 protein were assessed based on cell type (hIEECs vs. hEESCs vs. dTHP-1) and
24 culture condition (monoculture vs. co-culture). The “+” in the bar indicates “+dTHP-1.” HO-1 protein
25 levels in hIEECs (**A**, lanes 1–4), hEESCs (**A**, lanes 5–8), and dTHP-1 (**A**, lanes 9–14 and **B**, lanes 1–6)
26 were quantified by western blotting. The results were normalized to the expression of β -actin. Figure 3A: a
27 vs. b, $p < 0.05$; a vs. c, $p < 0.05$; a vs. d, $p < 0.05$; a vs. e, $p < 0.01$; b vs. c, $p < 0.05$; b vs. d, $p < 0.05$; b vs.
28 e, $p < 0.01$; c vs. d, $p < 0.05$; c vs. e, $p < 0.05$; and d vs. e, $p < 0.01$. Figure 3B: a vs. b, $p < 0.05$; a vs. c, p
29 < 0.05 ; a vs. d, $p < 0.01$; b vs. c, $p < 0.05$; b vs. d, $p < 0.01$; and c vs. d, $p < 0.05$.

30

31 **Figure 4. Addition of endometriotic cell culture supernatants (A) or recombinant TGF- β 1 (B)**
32 **stimulates the expression of HO-1 protein in macrophage monoculture.**

33 **A.** The addition of culture supernatants of endometriotic cells increased HO-1 production in macrophages.
34 We investigate whether cultured supernatant (CS) collected from hIEECs or hEESCs induced HO-1
35 expression in dTHP-1 cell lysates. The relative HO-1 expression was determined by western blotting, with
36 β -actin used as the loading control.

1 **B.** Recombinant TGF- β 1 stimulates the expression of HO-1 protein in macrophage monoculture.
2 TGF- β 1 induced HO-1 protein expression in a time- and dose-dependent manner.
3 Figure 4A: a vs. b, $p < 0.01$; a vs. c, $p < 0.05$; and b vs. c, $p < 0.05$. Figure 4B: a vs. b, $p < 0.05$.

4
5 **Figure 5. Macrophage co-culture rescues H₂O₂-induced inhibition of endometriotic cell proliferation.**

6 Cell proliferation was measured by the IncuCyte ZOOM™ real-time imaging system. Treatment of
7 endometriotic cells with H₂O₂ (100 μ M for hIEECs (A) and 200 μ M for hEESCs (B)) for up to 72 h resulted
8 in a time-dependent decrease in cell proliferation. Visualization of individual growth curves for the data
9 from the IncuCyte assay: x-axis, elapsed time in h; and y-axis, the cell confluence at the start of cell culture
10 (indicated as 1.0).

11 **A.** Treatments are: hIEECs monoculture (blue line), hIEECs monoculture + 100 μ M H₂O₂ (orange line),
12 and hIEECs co-cultured with dTHP-1 + 100 μ M H₂O₂ (gray line).

13 **B.** Treatments are: hEESCs monoculture (blue line), hEESCs monoculture + 200 μ M H₂O₂ (orange line),
14 and hEESCs co-cultured with dTHP-1 + 200 μ M H₂O₂ (gray line).

15 a vs. b, $p < 0.05$; a vs. c, $p < 0.01$; and b vs. c, $p < 0.05$.

16
17 **Figure 6. HO-1 inhibition abolishes the protective effects of macrophages on H₂O₂-induced oxidative**
18 **injury of endometriotic cells.**

19 hIEECs (A) or hEESCs (B) were monocultured or co-cultured with dTHP-1 and exposed to H₂O₂ (100 μ M
20 for hIEECs and 200 μ M for hEESCs) in the presence or absence of the HO-1 inhibitor, SnPP (30 μ M), for
21 up to 72 h. Representative images from three similar experiments are shown using the IncuCyte imaging
22 system. a vs. b, $p < 0.01$; a vs. c, $p < 0.05$; and b vs. c, $p < 0.05$.

23 **A.** hIEECs; yellow line, H₂O₂ 0 μ M (control); gray line, H₂O₂ 100 μ M; orange line, H₂O₂ 100 μ M + dTHP-
24 1 co-culture; and blue line, H₂O₂ 100 μ M + SnPP 30 μ M + dTHP-1 co-culture.

25 **B.** hEESCs; yellow line, H₂O₂ 0 μ M (control); gray line, H₂O₂ 200 μ M; orange line, H₂O₂ 200 μ M + dTHP-
26 1 co-culture; and blue line, H₂O₂ 200 μ M + SnPP 30 μ M + dTHP-1 co-culture.

27
28 **Figure 7. Dynamic cross-talk between endometriotic cells (producing TGF- β 1) and macrophages**
29 **(producing HO-1).**

30 Macrophages may protect endometrial cells from methemoglobin-induced oxidative injury through a
31 paracrine mechanism. Methemoglobin, a main component of hemoglobin in endometriotic cyst fluids, is
32 known as an inducer of oxidative stress (e.g., superoxide anion). This figure illustrates that (i) TGF- β 1 is
33 secreted by endometriotic cells when co-cultured with macrophages; (ii) TGF- β 1 stimulates HO-1
34 production in macrophages; (iii) HO-1 is upregulated in macrophages co-cultured with endometriotic cells
35 in response to oxidative stress; and (iv) macrophage-derived HO-1 protects endometriotic cells from
36 oxidative injury.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

Supplemental figures 1 and 2.

Western blotting was performed with the indicated antibodies (IL-6 [Supplemental Figure 1] and IL-10 [Supplemental Figure 2]) using whole cell lysate from hIEECs and hEESCs monoculture or those co-cultured with dTHP-1 cells. A relative fold-change in band intensity of target proteins was normalized to the level of β -actin. Fold-change vs. lane 5 was calculated. Different letters (a, b, c, and d) represent statistical differences ($p < 0.05$).

Supplemental Figure 3. Comparison of IncuCyte-based analysis system and the MTT assay for the assessment of endometriotic cell growth kinetics.

A. hIEECs; and **B.** hEESCs.

The H_2O_2 (50 and 100 μM)-induced growth inhibition was simultaneously determined by IncuCyte-based and MTT assays. Cell viability was measured by MTT assay every 24 h and by IncuCyte every 3 h. Different letters (a and b) represent statistical differences ($p < 0.05$).

Supplemental Figure 4. Effect of hydrogen peroxide on endometriotic cell proliferation

Visualization of individual growth curves for the data in the IncuCyte assay: x-axis, elapsed time in hours; and y-axis, the cell confluence at the start of cell culture is indicated as 1.0.

A. hIEECs; yellow line, H_2O_2 0 μM ; gray line, H_2O_2 50 μM ; orange line, H_2O_2 100 μM ; and blue line, H_2O_2 200 μM .

B. hEESCs; yellow line, H_2O_2 0 μM ; gray line, H_2O_2 100 μM ; orange line, H_2O_2 200 μM ; and blue line, H_2O_2 500 μM .

Representative imaging from three similar experiments is shown. Different letters (a, b, and c) represent statistical differences ($p < 0.05$).

Supplemental Figure 5. Toxic effects of SnPP on endometriotic cells.

The IncuCyte imaging system was used to assess cell growth/cytotoxicity caused by SnPP in hIEECs. 6% DMSO induced no cytotoxicity. Exposure of 30 μM SnPP for up to 72 h to hIEECs resulted in no cytotoxicity. Representative results from two similar experiments are shown.