Effects of anesthetics on early postoperative cognitive outcome and intraoperative cerebral oxygen balance in patients undergoing lung surgery: a randomized clinical trial
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Abstract

Purpose: One-lung ventilation (OLV) may impair cerebral oxygen balance and induce postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD). It is unclear whether the type of anesthetic influences the incidence of POCD in patients undergoing OLV. This prospective study compared the incidence of POCD and intraoperative cerebral oxygen desaturation in OLV patients anesthetized with propofol vs sevoflurane during lung surgery.

Methods: There were 148 participants enrolled in this study and randomized equally to either the propofol or the sevoflurane group. Anesthesia was maintained with either propofol or sevoflurane combined in both groups with fentanyl and epidural anesthesia. Regional cerebral oxygen saturation (rSO₂), jugular bulb venous oxygen saturation (SjO₂), and the incidence of cerebral oxygen desaturation (rSO₂ or SjO₂ < 50% or rSO₂ < 80% of baseline) were measured during anesthesia. Cognitive function was assessed using seven neurocognitive tests two days preoperatively, five days postoperatively (primary outcome), and three months postoperatively. Bivariable and multivariable regression analyses were conducted to identify factors associated with POCD.

Results: Rates of POCD did not differ statistically between groups five days postoperatively (propofol, 16/72 patients; sevoflurane, 24/72 patients; RR, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.39 to 1.15; P = 0.14) or three months postoperatively (propofol, 9/60 patients; sevoflurane, 12/58 patients; RR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.33 to 1.59; P = 0.42). Only three subjects per group showed intraoperative cerebral oxygen desaturation. Multivariable regression analysis revealed older age as an independent predictor of POCD.

Conclusions: No statistically significant difference in the incidence of POCD could be detected between the sevoflurane and propofol anesthesia groups. Postoperative cognitive
dysfunction was relatively frequent following OLV in both groups. (Registration number: UMIN 00002826).
Effets des agents anesthésiques sur l’issue cognitive postopératoire précoce et l’équilibre peropératoire d’oxygène cérébral chez les patients subissant une chirurgie pulmonaire : une étude clinique randomisée

Résumé

Objectif : La ventilation unipulmonaire (VUP) pourrait avoir un impact négatif sur l’équilibre d’oxygène cérébral et induire une dysfonction cognitive postopératoire (DCPO).

Nous ne savons pas si le type d’agent anesthésique influence l’incidence de DCPO chez les patients recevant une VUP. Cette étude prospective a comparé l’incidence de DCPO et de désaturation peropératoire en oxygène cérébral chez les patients sous VUP anesthésiés avec du propofol vs du sévoflurane pendant une chirurgie pulmonaire.

Méthode : Au total, 148 patients ont participé à cette étude et ont été randomisés en deux groupes égaux à recevoir du propofol ou du sévoflurane. L’anesthésie a été maintenue à l’aide de propofol ou de sévoflurane, et l’agent de choix a été combiné à du fentanyl et à une anesthésie péridurale dans les deux groupes. La saturation en oxygène cérébral régional (rSO₂), la saturation en oxygène veineux au bulbe de la veine jugulaire (SjO₂) et l’incidence de désaturation en oxygène cérébral (rSO₂ ou SjO₂ < 50 % ou rSO₂ < 80 % par rapport aux valeurs de base) ont été mesurées pendant l’anesthésie. La fonction cognitive a été évaluée à l’aide de sept tests neurocognitifs deux jours avant l’opération, cinq jours après l’opération (critère d’évaluation principal) et trois mois après l’opération. Des analyses de régression bivariée et multivariée ont été réalisées afin d’identifier les facteurs associés à une DCPO.

Résultats : D’un point de vue statistique, les taux de DCPO n’étaient pas différents entre les groupes à cinq jours postopératoires (propofol, 16/72 patients; sévoflurane, 24/72 patients;
RR, 0,67; IC 95 %, 0,39 à 1,15; \( P = 0,14 \) ou à trois mois postopératoires \( \text{(propofol, 9/60} \)
patients; sévoflurane, 12/58 patients; RR, 0,73, IC 95 %, 0,33 à 1,59; \( P = 0,42 \)). Seuls trois
patients par groupe ont manifesté une désaturation peropératoire en oxygène cérébral.

L’analyse de régression multivariée a révélé qu’un âge avancé était un prédicteur indépendant
de DCPO.

**Conclusion** : Aucune différence significative d’un point de vue statistique n’a été observée
en ce qui a trait à l’incidence de DCPO entre les groupes anesthésiés au sévoflurane ou au
propofol. La dysfonction cognitive postopératoire était relativement fréquente après une VUP
dans les deux groupes. (Numéro d’enregistrement : UMIN 000002826). 
Postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD) is common following non-cardiac surgery. In a prospective multicentre trial of 1,218 patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery, POCD was present in 26% of patients one week postoperatively and in 10% of patients three months postoperatively.\(^1\) Postoperative cognitive dysfunction is associated with increased mortality\(^2\) and may lead to a loss of employment and to dependence on social transfer payments;\(^3\) therefore, it is crucial to identify factors that increase the risk of POCD in order to establish appropriate preventative measures.

Older age appears to be the strongest predictor amongst the number of potential risk factors identified for POCD.\(^4\) In addition to demographic, clinical, and intraoperative factors, postoperative and hospital-associated factors (e.g., stress, pain, and inflammation) may contribute to the development of POCD.\(^5\) Recently, Cai et al. reported that POCD in patients receiving inhalation anesthesia was higher than in patients receiving intravenous anesthesia, particularly in inhalation anesthesia patients harbouring the apolipoprotein E ε4 allele associated with Alzheimer’s disease.\(^6\) Thus, choice of anesthesia may be an additional factor influencing the incidence of POCD. Several additional clinical studies have also compared the incidence of POCD in patients undergoing intravenous vs inhalation anesthesia.\(^7-9\)

Nevertheless, these investigations were limited in size (n = 29–101), conducted in heterogeneous patient groups anesthetized with a variety of anesthetic agents, and evaluated using different cognitive batteries. Thus, their results may not be applicable to other surgical populations (e.g., lung surgery patients).

One-lung ventilation (OLV) during lung surgery can impair cerebral oxygen balance, and several studies have found that cerebral desaturation increases the risk of POCD following non-cardiac surgery.\(^10-12\) A previous study reported that cerebral oxygen saturation
was higher in the sevoflurane anesthesia group than in the propofol anesthesia group during lung surgery.\textsuperscript{13} Alternatively, a recent review found little evidence for differences in outcomes between inhalation and intravenous anesthesia during lung surgery.\textsuperscript{14} The purpose of this study was to compare the effect of sevoflurane vs propofol anesthesia on postoperative neurocognitive test scores and cerebral oxygenation parameters in a population of patients undergoing lung surgery. The primary outcome was defined as the incidence of POCD on postoperative day five.

**Methods**

This prospective randomized patient-blinded single-centre study was approved (February 1, 2007) by the Ethics Committee of Nara Medical University Hospital (Kashiwara, Japan; study number 06-043). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Study enrolment began after institutional review board approval but before UMIN registration because registration of clinical trials was not required in Japan until 2009, two years after the study was initiated. The government recommended registration of all clinical trials beginning in 2009.

Patients were eligible for inclusion in the study if they were scheduled for elective lung surgery and were 20-85 yr of age. Additional inclusion criteria were American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status I–III, fluency in Japanese, ability to read, and absence of serious hearing or visual impairments that would preclude neuropsychological testing. The following exclusion criteria were applied: interstitial lung disease or lung fibrosis, pregnancy or possibility of pregnancy, a history of neurological or mental illness, baseline Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) score below 24, renal insufficiency (serum creatinine in excess
of 1.5 mg·dL⁻¹), active liver disease (aspartate aminotransferase in excess of 40 U·dL⁻¹), or
documented coagulopathy (prothrombin time > 15 sec, activated partial thromboplastin time
> 32 sec, or platelet count < 150,000·μL⁻¹).

The patients were randomly allocated to receive either total intravenous anesthesia
with propofol or inhalation anesthesia with sevoflurane. A computer-generated blocked
randomization list was used to separate patients into two equal treatment groups. Allocation
concealment was assured by the use of numbered sealed opaque envelopes. The enrolment of
participants and random allocation were conducted independently by different staff members,
and the envelopes were drawn by anesthesiologists not involved in this study. The attending
surgical anesthesiologists were not blinded to the anesthetic agents. All anesthetic procedures,
except cannulation of the jugular vein, were performed by anesthesiologists not involved in
this study, and the anesthetic dose was also chosen independently by anesthesiologists not
involved in this study. All the operations were performed by the same surgical team.

Cognitive function was assessed two days preoperatively, five days postoperatively,
and three months postoperatively using a battery of seven neuropsychological tests: the
MMSE, Trail Making Test (Parts A and B), Digit Span (forward and backward), and
Grooved Pegboard Test (dominant and non-dominant hands).¹⁵,¹⁶ As described previously,
POCD was defined as a decline of > 20% from preoperative test scores in at least two of
seven tests.¹⁷ Cognitive function was assessed by the same anesthesiologist blinded to group
allocation and not involved in intraoperative management. All cognitive tests were performed
in the same hospital room.

Before induction of anesthesia, a thoracic epidural catheter was inserted between
thoracic 5-6 or 7-8 intervertebral spaces. Beginning before general anesthesia, regional
cerebral oxygen saturation was continuously monitored on the left and right sides of the patient’s forehead using an INVOSTM 5100 oximeter (Somanetics, Troy, MI, USA).

Electrocardiogram, oxygen saturation, invasive arterial pressure, end-tidal carbon dioxide, bladder temperature, and bispectral index (BIS) (Aspect, BIS Monitor A-2000; Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan) were continuously monitored during anesthesia. In the propofol group, anesthesia was initiated with a target-controlled infusion (target concentration, 3-4 μg·mL⁻¹) of propofol and bolus administration of fentanyl (2.0-2.5 μg·kg⁻¹). In the sevoflurane group, anesthesia was initiated with bolus administration of propofol (1-2 mg·kg⁻¹) and fentanyl (2.0-2.5 μg·kg⁻¹). Rocuronium (0.6-0.9 mg·kg⁻¹) was administered in both groups to facilitate tracheal intubation.

A left-sided double-lumen endotracheal tube (Portex® Blue Line® Endobronchial Tube; Smith Medical Japan, Tokyo, Japan) was inserted, and the correct position confirmed using a flexible bronchoscope. Anesthesia was maintained with propofol or sevoflurane according to treatment group, plus fentanyl and epidural anesthesia in both groups. The target blood concentration of propofol and expired concentration of sevoflurane were adjusted to keep the BIS score within 40-60. The fraction of inspired oxygen was maintained at 1.0 following anesthesia induction, and the lung protective strategy of low tidal volume and positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) was applied during OLV in accordance with the recommended ventilation strategy for lung surgery. Pressure-controlled ventilation with 4-5 cm H₂O PEEP was used for both two-lung ventilation and OLV. For two-lung ventilation, the tidal volume was set at 8-10 mL·kg⁻¹ (predicted body weight), and the respiratory rate was adjusted to maintain arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂) at approximately 40 mmHg. For OLV, the tidal volume was set at 5-6 mL·kg⁻¹ (predicted body weight), and the
respiratory rate was set at 10-20 breaths·min⁻¹. During OLV, peak inspiratory pressure was maintained < 35 cm H₂O.

To obtain jugular venous blood samples, a 19G long elastic catheter (EVTL-MR 19G 150 mm RB; Hakko, Tokyo, Japan) was inserted into the right jugular bulb after induction of anesthesia. The position was verified by radiography in the operating room. Arterial and jugular bulb venous blood samples were obtained before OLV, 15 and 60 min after onset of OLV, and 15 min after termination of OLV. The following parameters were measured using an ABL 725 blood gas analyzer (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark): arterial oxygen saturation, jugular bulb venous oxygen saturation (SjO₂), arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂), and PaCO₂. Cerebral desaturation was defined as 1) an absolute SjO₂ < 50% at any point before OLV, 15 min after the onset of OLV, 60 min after the onset of OLV, or 15 min after the termination of OLV; or 2) an absolute regional cerebral oxygen saturation (rSO₂) < 50% for at least 15 sec at any one of the same measurement time points; or 3) a reduction in rSO₂ to < 80% of baseline for at least 15 sec at any one of the same measurement time points.¹⁰,¹⁹-²¹

Statistical analysis

The sample size was determined based on our preliminary study evaluating POCĐ five days after surgery and on a previous study.⁹ We assumed that the incidence of POCĐ could be as high as 30% in the sevoflurane group and 10% in the propofol group.²² Considering a 20% difference in incidence to be clinically significant, 144 patients would be needed to achieve a statistical power of 0.8 with a type I error of 0.05. To compensate for withdrawals, 148 patients were enrolled in the study.
Bivariate and multivariable regression analyses were used to identify the
predictors of POCD. Following identification of candidate factors by univariate regression,
all variables with $P < 0.2$ were included in the multivariable regression analysis along with
age and sex (which were included in the univariate analysis, although not significant).

The statistical software program SPSS® version 11 was used for power
analysis (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA); StatFlex version 6 software was used for
univariate and multivariable analyses (Artech Inc., Osaka, Japan), and Prism 6
software was used for other analyses (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).
Dichotomous variables were compared by Chi square or Fisher's exact tests, and depending
on the data distribution, results are presented as mean (standard deviation [SD]) or median
[interquartile range]. Values of rSO$_2$ and SjO$_2$ were compared between groups by two-way
analysis of variance and a post hoc test. All reported $P$ values are two sided.

Results

From March 2007 to January 2013, we contacted all 288 patients scheduled for elective lung
surgery at Nara Medical University, Nara, Japan. One hundred five patients refused to
participate, and 35 patients either did not meet all the inclusion criteria or met at least one of
the exclusion criteria. Consequently, 148 patients were enrolled in this study and randomized
equally to the sevoflurane or propofol group. Two patients who were initially accepted in the
sevoflurane group were excluded from the analysis. One withdrew prior to surgery, and the
other was excluded because of unsuccessful jugular vein cannulation during surgery. Two
patients in the propofol group were also excluded. One withdrew prior to surgery, and surgery
for the other patient was cancelled. Data for 144 patients were included in the final analysis (Fig. 1).

Patient demographics, baseline clinical characteristics, and surgical data are shown in Table 1. The durations of anesthesia and surgery were longer in the propofol group than in the sevoflurane group, and the median dose of intraoperative fentanyl was higher in the propofol group than in the sevoflurane group. Hemodynamic variables, BIS values, bladder temperature, and arterial blood gas parameters are presented in Table A (available as Electronic Supplementary Material). Postoperative tests for the five-day time point were conducted on postoperative day 5 (range, 5-5), while the tests for the three-month time point were conducted on day 88 (range, 78-94). All patients had uneventful intraoperative and postoperative courses.

There was no significant difference in the incidence of POCD between the propofol and sevoflurane groups five days postoperatively [16 of 72 (22%) patients vs 24 of 72 (33%) patients, respectively; risk ratio (RR), 0.67; 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.39 to 1.15; \( P = 0.14 \)]. Twenty-six of 144 patients were lost to follow-up three months postoperatively (12 patients in the propofol group and 14 patients in the sevoflurane group); consequently, 118 patients were analyzed three months postoperatively (Fig. 1). Again, there was no significant difference in the incidence of POCD between the propofol and sevoflurane groups [9 of 60 (15%) patients vs 12 of 58 (21%) patients, respectively; RR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.33 to 1.6; \( P = 0.42 \)]. In the propofol group, three of 16 patients who showed POCD five days postoperatively were lost to follow-up three months postoperatively. Of the 13 remaining patients, four still showed POCD at three months postoperatively. In the sevoflurane group, four of 24 patients who showed POCD five days postoperatively were lost to follow-up three
months postoperatively. Of the 20 remaining patients, eight still showed POCD at three months postoperatively. The median scores on all seven cognitive tests are shown in Table 2 for both anesthesia groups.

There were no significant differences in PaO₂ and PaCO₂ values between the two groups at any measurement time point (Table A, available as Electronic Supplementary Material). Similarly, there were no significant differences in rSO₂ and SJO₂ values at the defined measurement time points among the POCD-positive propofol, POCD-negative propofol, POCD-positive sevoflurane, and POCD-negative sevoflurane subgroups—as defined five days postoperatively (Fig. 2). The incidence of cerebral desaturation (rSO₂ or SJO₂ < 50% or a reduction in rSO₂ to < 80% of baseline) did not differ between the propofol and sevoflurane groups (three patients or 4% vs three patients or 4%, respectively; RR, 1.0; 95% CI, 0.21 to 4.8; P = 1.0).

On univariate analysis, age was a significant factor associated with POCD after lung surgery. Multivariable regression analysis revealed age as the only major independent predictor of POCD (Table 3).

Discussion

There is still considerable debate over whether specific anesthetics increase the risk of POCD in non-cardiac surgery patients. Moreover, postoperative cognitive function of lung surgery patients may be particularly sensitive to certain anesthetics if the substances influence intraoperative cerebral oxygen balance. A reduction in POCD was identified five days following surgery was noted among patients receiving propofol (RR, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.39 to 1.15). A difference of this size might be of clinical significance but did not reach statistical
The incidence of cerebral oxygen desaturation (RR, 1.00; 95% CI, 0.21 to 4.79; $P = 1.00$) was similar in propofol- or sevoflurane-based anesthesia groups. At three months, POCD was relatively frequent in both groups [21 of 118 (18%)], underscoring the potential for long-lasting POCD in non-cardiac surgery patients. Nevertheless, we found no corresponding difference in POCD between anesthetic treatment groups (RR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.33 to 1.59; $P = 0.42$) three months postoperatively. Age was the predominant influence on the risk of POCD, which is consistent with several previous studies.

Postoperative cognitive dysfunction is associated with greater mortality after non-cardiac surgery. Although several mechanisms have been proposed, POCD etiology is still unclear. According to the report of the Second International Perioperative Neurotoxicity Workshop, there is still considerable controversy over whether specific anesthetic agents increase the risk of POCD. Nonetheless, POCD is relatively common after general anesthesia. Several prospective and retrospective studies have compared the incidence of POCD after intravenous vs inhalation anesthesia. Enlund et al. compared the incidence of POCD in 29 orthognathic surgery patients anesthetized with propofol vs isoflurane, whereas Rohan et al. compared the incidence of POCD in 30 patients undergoing cystoscopic or hysteroscopic surgery and anesthetized with propofol vs sevoflurane. Both studies reported no significant differences in the incidence of POCD between the intravenous and inhalation anesthetic groups. Nevertheless, both patient groups were likely too small to detect a modest but clinically relevant difference. In contrast, the current study of 144 patients was designed to detect a difference in this range, even in cases of subtle deficits. To accomplish this objective, we used multiple cognitive tests probing different domains, including MMSE (screening), Trail Making Test Part A (attention), Trail Making Test Part B (executive
function), Digit Span Test (verbal working memory), and Grooved Pegboard Test (motor function). According to a consensus statement, the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test, Trail Making Test A/B, and Grooved Pegboard Test are recommended as core components of a comprehensive neuropsychological battery. In our preliminary studies, we included the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; however, it was excluded from the main trial as it took a long time to complete and was stressful for some postoperative patients.

We focused on lung surgery because, according to the latest report of the International Agency for Research on Cancer, lung cancer is currently the most commonly diagnosed cancer worldwide, and lung cancer surgeries are increasing, even among the elderly.

Hemmerling et al. reported that lung surgery with OLV is associated with a substantial decrease in cerebral oxygen saturation in the majority of patients. Likewise, Kazan et al. reported that 82% of 50 patients undergoing thoracic surgery exhibited a reduction in cerebral oxygen saturation of > 15% from their preoperative baseline. Iwata et al. reported that the incidence of cerebral desaturation during OLV for lung surgery was higher when using propofol-based anesthesia vs sevoflurane-based anesthesia. In addition, previous studies have shown that intraoperative regional cerebral desaturation is associated with lower postoperative MMSE scores in lung surgery patients. Therefore, cerebral desaturation is considered a likely causative factor for POCD. A previous report showed that cerebral desaturation was related to choice of anesthetic in OLV. Contrary to the results of Iwata et al., we found no significant difference in the incidence of cerebral desaturation between the propofol and sevoflurane anesthesia groups. Nevertheless, desaturation was rare in both groups, possibly because we applied the lung protective strategy with 100% oxygen during OLV. In fact, in the aforementioned study, SpO₂ values were maintained at around 95%,
which is relatively low compared with our results. The PaCO₂ values were also slightly lower compared with our patients. As a result, absolute SjO₂ values in our propofol group were relatively higher than in their study; consequently, maintaining high cerebral oxygen saturation may offset any differences due to the choice of anesthetic. As there was a low incidence of desaturation in both groups, we could not clarify the correlation between cognitive dysfunction and cerebral desaturation.

There are several limitations to this study. First, it was conducted at a single university hospital, thereby introducing the possibility of bias in patient selection and possibly limiting the general applicability of our results. Second, we did not use a double dummy blinding method in the selection of anesthetic agents because it was not feasible to reliably blind anesthesiologists in a clinical setting. Nevertheless, all the anesthetic procedures, except for cannulation of the jugular vein, were performed by anesthesiologists who were not involved in this study. In addition, the dose of drug within the protocol was also decided independently by non-participant anesthesiologists. Third, the mean duration of anesthesia and surgery was significantly longer in the propofol group than in the sevoflurane group. Nevertheless, because the mean difference in duration was only 30 min, we suggest that there was minimal influence on the neurocognitive test scores. Finally, patients with severe lung disease, such as interstitial lung disease or lung fibrosis, were excluded from the study. The incidence of desaturation may be higher in such patients, which could alter the incidence of POCD and possibly unmask a differential influence of anesthetics on cognitive function.

In conclusion, there was no statistically significant difference in the incidence of POCD between propofol- and sevoflurane-based anesthesia groups following lung surgery.
The incidence of cerebral desaturation during surgery did not differ between the anesthesia groups under the lung protective respiratory strategy. Larger randomized-controlled trials are required to determine if choice of anesthesia influences cognitive test results in the early postoperative period.
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TABLE 1. Baseline characteristics and intra-operative data of the study patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Propofol (n = 72)</th>
<th>Sevoflurane (n = 72)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>69 [63–73]</td>
<td>72 [63–72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (F/M)</td>
<td>23/48</td>
<td>33/39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg·m⁻²)</td>
<td>23.3 (3.1)</td>
<td>22.7 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA grade (I/II/III)</td>
<td>25/42/5</td>
<td>29/40/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of anesthesia (min)</td>
<td>284 (82)</td>
<td>254 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery (min)</td>
<td>195 (77)</td>
<td>167 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative fentanyl (μg)</td>
<td>300 [250–400]</td>
<td>300 [200–300]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education (yr)</td>
<td>12 [12–13]</td>
<td>12 [9–12]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as median [interquartile range] or mean (standard deviation) as indicated.

ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; BMI = body mass index; MMSE = Mini Mental State Examination.
TABLE 2. Cognitive test results of propofol and sevoflurane groups at baseline, five days postoperatively, and three months postoperatively

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>5 days after surgery</th>
<th>3 months after surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MMSE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TMT A (sec)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TMT B (sec)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propofol</td>
<td>100 [78–140]</td>
<td>91 [72–117]</td>
<td>93 [71–121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Digit Span Forward</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Digit Span Backward</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pegboard (dominant hand) (sec)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pegboard (non-dominant hand) (sec)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sevoflurane</td>
<td>94 [76–123]</td>
<td>95 [80–121]</td>
<td>91 [76–105]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

POCD incidence: 16 of 72 in the propofol group, 24 of 72 in the sevoflurane group (RR, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.39 to 1.15; P = 0.14) at 5 days after surgery; 9 of 60 in the propofol group, 12 of 58 in the sevoflurane group (RR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.33 to 1.59; P = 0.42) at three months after surgery. Data are presented as median [interquartile range].

MMSE = Mini Mental State Examination; TMT A = Trail Making Test Part A; TMT B = Trail Making Test Part B.
TABLE 3. Bivariable and multivariable regression analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>5 days after surgery</th>
<th>3 months after surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bivariable predictors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.08 (1.03 to 1.13)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male vs female)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.59 to 2.6)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthetic agent (propofol vs sevoflurane)</td>
<td>1.75 (0.83 to 3.7)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of anesthesia (hr)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.82 to 1.48)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative fentanyl dose (µg·kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.67 to 1.41)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education (&gt; 9 years vs &lt; 10 years)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.33 to 1.41)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral desaturation (No vs Yes)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.06 to 4.5)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multivariable predictors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.08 (1.03 to 1.13)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male vs female)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.71 to 3.6)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthetic agent (propofol vs sevoflurane)</td>
<td>1.44 (0.66 to 3.14)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following identification in bivariable regression analysis, variables with values of $P < 0.2$ were included in multivariable regression. CI = confidence interval.
Legends

Fig. 1  CONSORT flow diagram showing the progress of patients throughout the trial.

Fig. 2  Changes in rSO₂ and SjO₂ before, during, and following one-lung ventilation (OLV). Time 0 = awake; Time 1 = before OLV; Time 2 = 15 min after OLV onset; Time 3 = 60 min after OLV onset; and Time 4 = 15 min after OLV termination. POCD = postoperative cognitive dysfunction; rSO₂ = regional cerebral oxygen saturation; SjO₂ = jugular bulb venous oxygen saturation.
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TABLE A  Hemodynamic and arterial blood gas values.
n = 288
Contacted patients

n = 140 Excluded patients
n = 35 Not Meeting inclusion Criteria
n = 105 Refused to Participate

n = 148
Randomized patients

n = 74 Propofol group
n = 72 Received Intervention as Assigned
n = 2 Did Not Received Assigned Intervention
(1 patient withdrew prior to surgery)
(1 patient was unsuccessful canulation to jugular vein)

n = 72
Completed Assessment
n = 60 Completed Assessment
n = 12 Declined Assessment

n = 74 Sevoflurane group
n = 72 Received Intervention as Assigned
n = 2 Did Not Received Assigned Intervention

n = 72
Completed Assessment
n = 58 Completed Assessment
n = 14 Declined Assessment

5 days after surgery
3 months after surgery
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Left rSO₂

Right rSO₂

SjO₂

- POCD (+) Propofol
- POCD (-) Propofol
- POCD (+) Sevoflurane
- POCD (-) Sevoflurane
### TABLE A  Hemodynamic and arterial blood gas values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before OLV</th>
<th>15 min after OLV onset</th>
<th>60 min after OLV onset</th>
<th>15 min after OLV termination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIS value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propofol</td>
<td>46 (9)</td>
<td>49 (9)</td>
<td>48 (10)</td>
<td>53 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sevoflurane</td>
<td>52 (10)</td>
<td>53 (8)</td>
<td>53 (9)</td>
<td>53 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heart rate (beat·min⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propofol</td>
<td>68 (10)</td>
<td>69 (9)</td>
<td>70 (9)</td>
<td>69 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sevoflurane</td>
<td>63 (8)</td>
<td>66 (10)</td>
<td>67 (9)</td>
<td>66 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean arterial pressure (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propofol</td>
<td>75 (10)</td>
<td>73 (13)</td>
<td>77 (11)</td>
<td>77 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sevoflurane</td>
<td>75 (10)</td>
<td>76 (14)</td>
<td>75 (11)</td>
<td>72 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rectal temperature (°C)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propofol</td>
<td>36.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>36.2 (0.4)</td>
<td>36.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>36.7 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sevoflurane</td>
<td>36.4 (0.4)</td>
<td>36.2 (0.4)</td>
<td>36.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>36.6 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PaO₂ (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propofol</td>
<td>482 (69)</td>
<td>182 (86)</td>
<td>191 (84)</td>
<td>469 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sevoflurane</td>
<td>484 (57)</td>
<td>174 (85)</td>
<td>183 (93)</td>
<td>468 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PaCO₂ (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propofol</td>
<td>43 (4.5)</td>
<td>48 (8)</td>
<td>49 (8.1)</td>
<td>42 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sevoflurane</td>
<td>43 (4.7)</td>
<td>47 (7.2)</td>
<td>49 (7)</td>
<td>43 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean (standard deviation). BIS = bispectral index; OLV = one-lung ventilation; PaO₂ = arterial partial pressure of oxygen; PaCO₂ = arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide.