Distribution of primary osteoarthritis in the ulnar aspect of the wrist and the factors that are correlated with ulnar wrist osteoarthritis: a cross-sectional study

Takeshi Katayama, MD¹; Hiroshi Ono, MD¹; Daisuke Suzuki, MD¹; Manabu Akahane, MD²; Shohei Omokawa, MD³; Yasuhito Tanaka, MD³

¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Kokuho Central Hospital ²Department of Public Health, Health Management and Policy, Nara Medical University ³Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Nara Medical University

Corresponding author:

د. د

> Takeshi Katayama, MD Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Kokuho Central Hospital, 404-1 Miyako, Tawaramoto, Nara 636-0302, Japan Tel: +81-744-32-8800 Fax: +81-744-32-8811 E-mail: takeshi.k11@k4.dion.ne.jp

Disclaimers: None Acknowledgments: None 1 Abstract

, (

 $\mathbf{2}$ Objective: The purpose of this cross-sectional study was to identify the distribution of primary osteoarthritis (OA) in the ulnar aspect of 3 the wrist, and analyze the factors correlated with OA at this site. 4 Materials and methods: 11285Α total of of skeletally cases mature 6 Japanese patients were collected over a three-year period. We analyzed 7 posteroanterior and lateral wrist radiographs of these patients for the in 8 the presence of primary OA the ulnar aspect of the wrist. 9 radioulnar (DRUJ), radiolunate, including the distal ulnolunate, 10 lunotriquetral, triquetrohamate, lunohamate and lunocapitate joints. All joints were examined for the frequency of primary OA. A multivariate 11 12logistic regression was used to investigate the factors correlated with the presence of degenerative arthritis in the ulnar aspect of the wrist 1314joint.

Results: Primary OA of the ulnar wrist was identified in 145 (12.8 %) 15of 1128 cases. Degenerative changes were most frequently identified in 1617the DRUJ (12.3 %), followed by the ulnolunate joint (8.1 %). Variations 18 radial inclination (RI), height ratio (CHR), in carpal and ulnar variance (UV) correlated with OA of the ulnar aspect of the 19wrist. with variations in UV showing the highest correlation. 20

21 Conclusion: Primary OA of the ulnar wrist was most frequent in the
22 DRUJ and second-most in the ulnolunate joint. UV was the most
23 correlated with OA in the ulnar aspect of the wrist.
24

25 Key words: osteoarthritis, ulnar aspect, wrist, radiography

26

27 Introduction

28Ulnar-sided wrist pain is a common clinical problem and presents а 29diagnostic challenge for radiologists and hand surgeons. because of the region [1, 2]. 30 complex anatomical this Disorders of structures in the are a number of degenerative 31ulnar wrist are complicated, and there 32conditions that can lead to pain and disability. These conditions often 33 consist of multifactorial etiologies, making \mathbf{it} difficult to implement [3]. 34strategies However the of appropriate treatment main causes 35ulnar-sided wrist disorders include triangular fibrocartilage complex 36 (TFCC) tears, lunotriquetral ligament instability, extensor carpi ulnaris syndrome [2, 4]. 37 tendonitis and ulnocarpal impaction Palmer classified degenerative TFCC disorder into five grades, and found that perforations 38 TFCC result ulnocarpal 39of thein abutment and cartilage erosion, arthritis 40 eventually leading to ulnocarpal [5].Distal radioulnar joint 41 (DRUJ) instability associated with radioulnar ligament tear may 42the joint [6]. However accelerate cartilage wear of the etiology of 43non-traumatic osteoarthritis (OA)in the ulnar aspect of the wrist remains unknown. Frequency and pathogenesis of radiocarpal and radial 4445OA have been extensively investigated [7, 8], whereas midcarpal there been no research on the distribution of OA or the importance of 46has

47 the alignment change of the wrist and carpal bones in the ulnar 48 aspect of the wrist with OA.

49 This cross-sectional study sought to identify the distribution of primary OA in the ulnar aspect of the wrist, 50and to analvze the correlated with the presence of OA at this site. 51factors that are We hypothesized that OA in the ulnar aspect of \mathbf{the} 52wristwould be 53most frequently observed in the DRUJ and that OA of the ulnar 54aspect of the wrist would correlate with the alignment and configuration of the wrist and carpal bones. 55

56

57 Materials and Methods

58This was a retrospective radiographic study using posteroanterior (PA) and lateral wrist radiographs. This study was approved by our hospital 59review board. We included all skeletally mature Japanese patients with 60 visited our 61closed epiphyseal plates who general orthopaedic practice December 2010 with wrist symptoms. 62from January 2008 to Patients 63 presented to the clinic with trauma (e.g., contusion, sprain, tendon or ligament injury, nerve injury), inflammation (e.g., cellulitis, carpal tunnel 64 stenosing tenosynovitis, pseudo purulent 65 syndrome, gout, arthritis), OA ulnocarpal abutment syndrome), or tumor 66 (e.g., ganglion, (e.g., lipoma,

67 atheroma). Patients were excluded if they presented with fractures of the radius, ulna, or carpal bones, or secondary OA of the wrist 68 due 69 to: (1) fractures or malunions of the radius, ulna, carpal bones, and 70 metacarpal bones; (2) rheumatoid arthritis, dialysis-related arthritis, or 71other systemic arthritic conditions; (3) avascular necrosis of the carpus; 72congenital deformities. All patients were diagnosed based and (4) on 73both the radiographic findings and clinical records to avoid inappropriate clinical diagnosis. 74

75Standard PA radiographs [9] of the wrist were taken with the wrist 76neutral position between both flexion-extension and radial-ulnar in a 77deviation: the forearm was in a neutral position of pronation-supination, with the elbow bent in 90 degrees of flexion, and the shoulder held 78in 90 degrees of abduction. Standard PA radiographs of the wrist were 7980 indicated when the ulnar styloid process localized in the lateral border of the ulnar head. Standard lateral radiographs [10] of the wrist were 81taken with the wrist and forearm in a neutral position, the elbow in 8283 90 degrees of flexion, and the shoulder in 0 degree of abduction. 84 Standard lateral radiographs of the wrist were indicated when the pisiform localized in between the volar margins of the scaphoid tubercle 85 the capitate. Radiographic exams were excluded if they were of 86 and

87 insufficient quality for evaluation or if the examination did not include88 both of these two views.

89 To clarify the distribution of primary OA in the ulnar aspect of the 90 wrist using PA and lateral wrist radiographs, the ulnar wrist joints 91defined DRUJ, were following joints: radiolunate, ulnolunate, as92lunotriquetral, triquetrohamate, lunohamate and lunocapitate joints (Figure 93 1). The PA radiographic criteria for OA of $_{\mathrm{the}}$ wrist ioint were 94defined as the presence of one or more of the following: joint space narrowing (where the shortest distance between each bone must be less 9596 than 1 mm), osteophytes (identified bony as excrescences at joint 97 margins), subchondral sclerosis (identified as sclerotic changes to the 98cortical trabecular in with the and bone contact cartilage) or subchondral cysts (identified as subchondral bone lucency within areas of 99subchondral sclerosis) [8, 11, 12]. The lateral radiographic criteria were 100101defined as the presence of osteophytes in the volar or dorsal side of the DRUJ. 102All joints in the distal radioulnar, ulnocarpal and ulnar 103 midcarpal areas were examined for the presence or absence of these features of OA, as outlined in Figure 1. The 104 joint was defined as105having a positive osteoarthritic change, when one or more features of 106 OA were recognized in each joint. If no features were observed, the

107 joint was defined as negative for osteoarthritic change. To identify the 108 prevalence of joints with primary OA in the ulnar aspect of the wrist, 109 all of the enrolled PA and lateral wrist radiographs were examined. 110 The frequency of an osteoarthritic joint was identified.

111 Next, standard PA and lateral radiographs of the wrist were assessed 112to identify the factors that are correlated with OA in ulnar the 113aspect of the wrist. Based on clinical records, we selected a control group of patients with no OA in the ulnar aspect of the wrist from 114115the original cohort of 1128cases, to act asan ageand 116gender-matched control for the patients with OA. The age of control 117patient was within 1 year of age (older or younger) of the OA group (Table 1). Radial inclination (RI) [13], carpal height ratio (CHR) 118[14]. (UV) [9] 119and ulnar variance were PA measured on standard 120radiographs of the wrist. The ulnar variance was measured by the 121method of perpendiculars [9]: a line was drawn perpendicular to the 122longitudinal axis of the radius and through the distal margin of the 123volar edge of the sigmoid notch of the radius. The distance was 124measured between this line and the distal cortical rim of the ulna to determine the UV (Figure 2). A positive UV was defined as more than 125(VT)[15].radiolunate 126 $\mathbf{2}$ mm. Volar tilt angle (RLA) [16]. and 127 radioscaphoid angle (RSA) [16] were measured on standard lateral 128 radiographs of the wrist.

129 Two independent observers (T.K; board-certified orthopedic surgeon, and H.O; 130 board-certified hand surgeon) reviewed radiographs for the groups of 131 patients with and without OA. One observer (T.K.) performed a second 132 measurement 1 month after the first measurement.

All radiographs were acquired using digital radiography and stored
using a Syngo Imaging XS-VA60B system (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany).
The measurements of the radiographs were made using a software tool
of the Syngo Imaging XS-VA60B system.

137

138 Statistical analysis

139Factors were analyzed by the Pearson correlation coefficient for eight 140parameters (gender, age, RI, UV, CHR, VT, RLA, and RSA) and the presence or 141absence of OA at the ulnar aspect of the wrist joint. A multivariate 142logistic regression analysis was then performed. The object variable was 143defined as the presence or absence of OA at the ulnar aspect of the 144wrist joint. The explanatory variables were parameters that confirmed a 145significant correlation statistically by the Pearson correlation analysis. 146The odds ratio of each explanatory variable was calculated with a 95%

confidence interval (CI). Statistical results were analyzed with the significance level set at P < 0.05.

149intra-observer reliability The interand of measurements for each 150radiographic parameter was calculated using the intraclass correlation 151coefficient (ICC). reliability The а decision for of or against the 152presence of OA in each joint was assessed using the kappa values. 153The interpretation of the ICC and kappa values was based on the criteria proposed by Landis and Koch [17]. ICC and kappa values of 1541550.00 to 0.20 represented slight agreement; 0.21 to 0.40 represented fair 156agreement; 0.41 to 0.60 represented moderate agreement; 0.61 to 0.80157substantial agreement; represented and over 0.80 represented almost 158perfect agreement.

159

147

160 Results

161 Of the patients visiting the clinic, 1408 patients (609 men and 799 women; 162 mean age, 53.8 years; range, 19-100 years) met the inclusion criteria, with 280 163 patients excluded (121 men and 159 women; mean age, 52.1 years; range, 19-95 164 years). Overall, we obtained relevant patient and radiographic information 165 from 1128 consecutive patients (488 men and 640 women; mean age, 54.2 years; 166 range, 19-100 years). Of these 1128 patients, 145 (12.8 %) cases (51 men 167and 94 women; mean age, 67.6 years; range, 30-100 years) presented with primary 168ulnar aspect of the wrist on the PA radiographs. OA in the The 169frequency of positive UV was found in 59 of the 145 cases with OA. 170to involve degenerative arthritic changes The most frequent area was 171DRUJ, which found 139of the145patients, the was in which 172total 1128 12.3%of the Fifty 139comprised cases. seven of cases with OA of the DRUJ had a positive UV. In the 145 cases with OA 173174of the ulnar aspect of the wrist, 2 of 6 cases without OA of the DRUJ had positive UV. The second most-frequent 175area with OA was the ulnolunate joint, which was observed in 91 (8.1 %) of the 1761128cases. In order of descending prevalence, 53 cases (4.7 %) demonstrated 177degenerative changes in the lunocapitate joint; 46 cases (4.1 %) in the 178179radiolunate joint; 40 cases (3.5%) in the triquetrohamate joint; 38 cases (3.3 %) 180 in the lunohamate joint; and 14cases (1.2 %)in the lunotriquetral joint (Figure 3). On the lateral radiographs, 181osteophytes 182were observed in two cases, which were seen in the sigmoid notch of 183the radius on both PA and lateral radiographs.

184 The age- and gender-matched control group comprised 145 cases (52 185 men and 93 women; mean age, 67.3 years; range, 39-85 years) from the original 186 cohort of 1128 consecutive cases that did not have OA of the wrist 187 joint; the difference between patients with and without OA was not 188 statistically significant with respect to age (P=0.932) or gender (P=0.901) using independent t-tests. The frequency of positive UV was found in 18933 of 145 control cases. We then used these two groups to determine 190191which factors were correlated with OA in the ulnar aspect of the 192wrist. Table 1 shows the characteristics of each variable between the 193two groups. Using these variables, a correlative analysis was conducted, revealing that the presence of OA at the ulnar aspect of the wrist 194joint was significantly correlated with RI, UV, CHR, and RLA (Table 2). 195196The multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that RI, UV, and CHR were statistically correlated with OA of the ulnar aspect of the 197198joint (Table 3). Among these variables, UV had wrist the highest significant correlation with OA of the ulnar aspect of the wrist joint 199200(P<0.001).

201 The ICC and kappa values for inter- and intra-observer agreement 202 were almost perfect or in substantial agreement (Table 4), suggesting 203 that the measurement methods were reproducible.

204

205 Discussion

206 In this study, we analyzed the distribution of primary OA in the

207 ulnar aspect of the wrist and sought to determine the factors that 208 correlate with ulnar wrist OA, with reference to the alignment and 209 configuration of the wrist and carpal bones.

210From a cohort of 1128consecutive cases. identified 12.8%we that 211(145 cases) of patients presenting to our institute showed degenerative 212osteoarthritic changes in the ulnar aspect of the wrist using standard 213PA radiographs. We observed osteophytes on lateral radiographs in two 214cases. In these two cases, osteophytes were seen in sigmoid notch of 215the radius on both PA and lateral radiographs. As the carpal bones 216overlapped on lateral radiographs, we could not judge the features of 217OA, except to determine that one was located in the area of the 218sigmoid notch of the radius. Thus, we determined the presence of 219of OA PA osteophytes for the definition using only views. This percentage (12.8 %) of ulnar wrist OA is lower than that reported by 220221Yamazaki al. [18], where asymptomatic OA of the DRUJ \mathbf{et} was identified in 26 % (34 out of 130 wrists) from 65 normal volunteers. 222223The difference between results could be attributed to a different criteria 224of inclusion. We included the patients with wrist symptoms; however, Yamasaki included normal volunteers and they defined more than grade 2252261 of the Kellgren-Laurence classification [19] as OA. The definition of

the Kellgren-Laurence 227ioint space narrowing based on classification is228the exact definition of wrist joint space narrowing vague, and has 229never been published to our knowledge. In our study, the presence of 230or more of osteophytes, joint space narrowing, subchondral sclerosis, one 231defined OA. Furthermore, subchondral as we strictly \mathbf{or} cvsts was 232distance of less than defined joint narrowing as a 1 space mm 233between each bone in the joint. As a result, the kappa values for 234inter- and intra-observer agreement based on our definition of OA were comparable. Thus, the discrepancy of the incidence of OA between our 235study and that of Yamazaki et al. is likely due to the difference in 236237both the criteria of participant inclusion and the definition of OA. 238We investigated the prevalence of degenerative arthritic changes in the ulnar aspect of the wrist and found that the frequency of OA was 239240highest at the DRUJ, and $_{\mathrm{the}}$ second-most frequently identified the 241ulnolunate joint. In addition, the frequency of positive UV with OA 242(40.7 %, or 59 of 145 cases) was statistically higher than that of the control 243group (22.8 %, or 33 of 145 cases) (P=0.001). Nakamura et al. [20] reported 244that UV in 325Japanese normal wrists increased with age, and positive UV (more than 1 mm) was 108 (32.2 %). 245present in cases Although we defined positive UV as more than 2 mm, the frequency 246

247 of positive UV in our control group was nearly equal to that in the 248 report of the Nakamura et al. and the frequency of positive UV with 249 OA was higher than that without OA.

250In order to identify the factors that are correlated with the presence of OA at the ulnar aspect of the wrist joint, we investigated various 251252factors, including age, gender, and the configuration of the wrist and 253carpal alignment. Of these factors, the factor most influential to the presence of OA of the ulnar aspect of the wrist joint was UV, with 254an odds ratio of 1.645, indicating that the relative risk of OA of the 255ulnar aspect of the wrist joint increased by 1.645 times 256when UV 257increased by 1 mm. Previous biomechanical studies are in agreement with our results [21, 22, 23]. Werner et al. [21] reported that radial 258shortening or ulnar lengthening caused a significant increase in pressure 259at the DRUJ; the center of pressure was located more distally in the 260sigmoid notch of the radius, and moved from the central dorsal region 261notch the distal rim of the262of the sigmoid to articulation. This 263non-physiological contact caused an incongruity in the articulation of the DRUJ, leading to OA. Palmer et al. [22] 264reported an increase in articular pressure at the ulnolunate articulation from 1.4 N/mm² to 2653.3N/mm², with an ulnar lengthening of 2.5 mm. Similarly, Fernandez [23] 266

267 reported that post-traumatic deformity after a fracture in the distal end 268 of the radius became symptomatic if the change in UV was more 269 than 5 mm, causing OA in the DRUJ.

、、

270This study had several limitations. The first is that many of the 271demonstrated patients enrolled in thisstudy some type of wrist 272problem. Therefore, our study may be affected by the characteristics of 273patients enrolled in this study. the It \mathbf{is} very difficult to enroll 274enough normal volunteers to evaluate the incidence and distribution of 275OA in the ulnar aspect of the wrist. However, UV (0.61 mm) in our 276145 select control cases without OA was almost equal to that of the 277normal volunteers [20]. As for the mean values of RI (25.8 degrees), CHR (54.4 %), VT (12.3 degrees), RLA (6.86 degrees), and RSA (52.4 278279degrees) in the control cases, normal Japanese values have not been 280reported; however, our results were almost consistent with mean values 281for Europeans and Americans [24, 25, 26]. The second limitation of this 282study was that our radiographic evaluations were based on static 283radiographs. Joint instability is one of the pathogeneses of OA, and 284dynamic instability of the joint derived from a soft tissue injury, such TFCC injury cannot be sufficiently evaluated by static radiographs 285as [27]. Therefore, we cannot comment on the influence of joint instability 286

287 on OA in the ulnar aspect of the wrist. The third limitation was 288 that we did not grade the radiographic changes of OA in each joint; 289 rather, the features of OA were evaluated. Grading of the radiographic 290 changes of OA would provide a more accurate evaluation of OA in 291 the ulnar aspect of the wrist.

292 Further longitudinal study is needed to investigate that the case with 293 positive UV prospectively progress to OA in the ulnar wrist.

294

295 Conclusion

296We found that primary OA in the ulnar aspect of the wrist was 297identified in 145 (12.8 %) of 1128 patients, and was the most frequent 298in the DRUJ and second-most in the ulnolunate joint. And UV was the most correlated with OA in the ulnar aspect of the wrist joint. 299300 Based on the current results, we would propose early correction of UV with / ulnar abutment 301 positive in patients syndrome when conservative treatment fails, yet no clear evidence exists to support our 302303 proposition. Further clinical study should be performed to confirm that 304 the lengthening procedure as an ulnar-shortening osteotomy for the early 305 grades of ulnar abutment syndrome not only reduces wrist pain and decreases the frequency of OA by improving 306 disability, but also the 307 incongruence of the distal radioulnar joint.

.

308







.

- distal radioulnar
- 🔳 ulnolunate
- Iunocapitate
- radiolunate
- triquetrohamate
- Iunohamate
- Iunotriquetral

 Table 1
 Characteristics of each variable with and without OA

d_a L

Variable	Primary OA	Control	
	(N=145)	(N=145)	
Gender	Male : 51	Male : 52	
	Female : 94	Female : 93	
Age	67.6 ± 13.9	67.3 ± 12.3	
Radial inclination	25.3土2.7	25.8±2.2	
Ulnar variance	1.37 ± 1.5	0.61 ± 1.2	
Carpal height ratio	53.8±2.6	54.4 ± 2.5	
Volar tilt	12.7 ± 4.1	12.3 ± 3.7	
Radiolunate angle	5.39±7.7	6.86±6.8	
Radioscaphoid angle	53.8土7.6	52.4土7.1	

Values are represented as the mean \pm standard deviation.

-

 Table 2
 Correlation between osteoarthritis of the ulnar aspect of the wrist joint and variables

	ulnar aspect of the wrist joint	he wrist joint
Variable	Pearson's R	p Value
Gender	0.008	0.901
Age	0.005	0.932
Radial inclination	-0.137	0.022*
Ulnar variance	0.293	<0.001*
Carpal height ratio	-0.142	0.027*
Volar tilt	0.112	0.211
Radiolunate angle	-0.127	0.037*
Radioscaphoid angle	0.099	0.147

* : Statistically significant.

		95% Col	95% Confidence	
		Inte	Interval	
Variable	Odds Ratio Lower	Lower	Upper	p Value
Radial inclination	0.856	0.765	0.958	0.007*
Ulnar variance	1.645	1.341	2.018	<0.001*
Carpal height ratio	0.861	0.776	0.956	0.005*
Radiolunate angle	0.921	0.877	0.984	0.21

Object variable: osteoarthritis of the ulnar aspect of the wrist joint

* : Statistically significant.

 Table 3
 Logistic Regression Analysis: Factors correlated with osteoarthritis of the ulnar aspect of the wrist joint

Table 4 Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and kappa values

्म ¹⁹ ्रेड म्यू

		ICC or kap interobserv	ICC or kappa values for interobserver agreement	ICC or kapl intraobserv	ICC or kappa values for intraobserver agreement
	Ulnar variance	96.0	(0.94-0.98)	0.97	(0.95-0.98)
	Radial inclination	0.87	(0.78-0.92)	0.91	(0.85-0.94)
	Carpal height ratio	0.78	(0.63-0.86)	0.88	(0.84-0.92)
	Volar tilt	0.84	(0.74-0.90)	0.91	(0.87-0.94)
	Radiolunate angle	0.71	(0.52-0.83)	0.92	(0.90-0.94)
	Radioscaphoid angle	0.70	(0.51-0.83)	0.88	(0.82-0.92)
	OA of DRUJ	0.89	(0.82-0.93)	0.93	(0.89-0.94)
	OA of ulnolunate joint	0.82	(0.69-0.89)	0.87	(0.81-0.91)
	OA of radiolunate joint	0.64	(0.41-0.78)	0.66	(0.42-0.80)
	OA of triquetrohamate joint	0.66	(0.43-0.79)	0.84	(0.76-0.88)
Kappa	OA of lunohamate joint	0.67	(0.46-0.80)	0.81	(0.70-0.87)
	OA of lunocapitate joint	0.64	(0.41-0.79)	0.84	(0.76-0.89)
	OA of lunotriquetral joint	0.66	(0.43-0.79)	0.78	(0.66-0.88)

(): 95% confidence interval